Hormone Replacement Therapy and Physical Function in Healthy Older Men. Time to Talk Hormones?
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Improving physical function and mobility in a continuously expanding elderly population emerges as a high priority of medicine today. Muscle mass, strength/power, and maximal exercise capacity are major determinants of physical function, and all decline with aging. This contributes to the incidence of frailty and disability observed in older men. Furthermore, it facilitates the accumulation of body fat and development of insulin resistance.

Muscle adaptation to exercise is strongly influenced by anabolic endocrine hormones and local load-sensitive autocrine/paracrine growth factors. GH, IGF-I, and testosterone (T) are directly involved in muscle adaptation to exercise because they promote muscle protein synthesis, whereas T and locally expressed IGF-I have been reported to activate muscle stem cells. Although exercise programs improve physical function, in the long-term most older men fail to comply. The GH/IGF-I axis and T levels decline markedly with aging, whereas accumulating evidence supports their indispensable role in maintaining physical function integrity.

Several studies have reported that the administration of T improves lean body mass and maximal voluntary strength in healthy older men. On the other hand, most studies have shown that administration of GH alone failed to improve muscle strength despite amelioration of the detrimental somatic changes of aging. Both GH and T are anabolic agents that promote muscle protein synthesis and hypertrophy but work through separate mechanisms, and the combined administration of GH and T, albeit in only a few studies, has resulted in greater efficacy than either hormone alone. Although it is clear that this combined approach is effective, this review concludes that further studies are needed to assess the long-term efficacy and safety of combined hormone replacement therapy in older men before the medical rationale of prescribing hormone replacement therapy for combating the sarcopenia of aging can be established. (Endocrine Reviews 33: 314–377, 2012)

I. Introduction
II. Background
III. The Growth Hormone/IGF-I Axis and Testosterone Secretion and Aging
   A. Aging and the somatotropic axis
   B. Physiology of aging in the male gonadal axis
IV. Clinical Consequences of Declining Growth Hormone and Testosterone in Aging Men
   A. Associations between clinical manifestations of aging and sex steroid status
   B. Associations between clinical manifestations of aging and GH/IGF-I axis
V. Similarities between the Adult GHD Syndrome and Hypogonadism and the Aging Phenotype
   A. GHD syndrome and the aging phenotype
   B. Hypogonadism and the aging phenotype
VI. Effect of Growth Hormone and Testosterone on Men with the GHD Syndrome and Hypogonadism
   A. Effects of testosterone on clinically overt hypogonadism in young and middle-aged men
   B. Effects of GH on the GHD syndrome

Abbreviations: AR, Androgen receptor; BF, body fat; BioT, bioavailable T; BMD, bone mineral density; CSA, cross-sectional area; CT, computed tomography; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DEXA, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; FBM, fat body mass; FFA, free fatty acid; FT, free T; GHD, GH deficiency or GH-deficient; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; IDGH, integrated daily GH; IGFBP, IGF binding protein; IHH, isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism; IMT, intima media thickness; JAK, Janus-activated kinases; LBM, lean body mass; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LPL, lipoprotein lipase; LV, left ventricular; MGF, mechano-growth factor; NO, nitric oxide; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; QoL, quality of life; RCT, randomized controlled trial; 1RM, one repetition maximum; SOCS, suppressors of cytokine signaling; SS, somatostatin; STAT, signal transducers and activators of transcription; T, testosterone; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; VF, visceral fat; VLDL apoB, very low-density lipoprotein; VO_{2max}, maximum rate of O_2 consumption; WBPK, whole body protein kinetics; WBPS, whole body protein synthesis.
VII. Evidence of an Additive Anabolic Action of GH and Testosterone
   A. Puberty and GH and testosterone interaction
   B. Muscle growth and GH and testosterone interaction
   C. Lipolysis and GH and testosterone interaction

VIII. Potential Implications of the Anabolic Hormones and VO_{2\max} Decline in Older Men
   A. Exercise and integrity of anabolic hormonal milieu is required for muscle adaptation
   B. Impaired hormone anabolic profile and strenuous exercise in older men
   C. Possible implications of GH and testosterone decline in older men

IX. Anabolic Intervention in Aging
   A. Issues regarding the role of hormone replacement (GH and testosterone) in older men in the light of recent research
   B. Clinical trials of testosterone and/or GH administration in older men
   C. Conclusions and thoughts of designing future trials on HRT in older men
   D. Safety issues of growth hormone and testosterone replacement treatment in older men

X. Conclusions and Recommendations

I. Introduction

Improvements in sanitation, health, and social conditions are resulting in a great increase in average life expectancy. In the United States alone, it is expected that the percentage of people older than 65 yr will increase from 12% today to almost 20% in 2030 (1). This will inevitably result in higher numbers of frail or disabled older men because the prevalence of disability increases from less than 4% in those aged 50–60 yr to more than 20% in those aged over 75 yr (2).

Disability is defined as difficulty or dependence in carrying out activities essential to independent living and is assessed by the self-reporting of difficulties or the inability to perform specific tasks as activities of daily living. The Instrumental Activities of Daily Living developed by Nagi (3) refers to tasks essential to household management (4) and measures of physical functioning. Frailty has recently been recognized as a distinct clinical entity and is described as a stage of decreased physiological reserves associated with increased risk of disability. Subsequently, the frailty phenotype has been defined as a clinical syndrome in which three or more of the following criteria are present: unintentional weight loss (10 pounds in the past year), self-reported exhaustion, muscle weakness, slow walking speed, and low physical activity (5). Although there is no consensus regarding the definition of frailty assessed only by physical impairment criteria as presented above, it has been recently validated among participants of the Cardiovascular Health Study, and we will adopt it for the purpose of this review (6).

Both muscle power and aerobic capacity are major determinants of physical performance, and this association has been confirmed in several studies. Aging, on the other hand, is closely associated with a progressive decline in muscle mass (7, 8), strength (9), and aerobic exercise capacity (10), and an increase in body fat (BF). Although these changes could be considered as physiological, they have a detrimental effect and contribute to the incidence of frailty, metabolic disorders, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality of older men (11).

Thus, the decline of muscle mass and strength, a universal process of aging [for which the term sarcopenia has been coined by Rosenberg (12)], has been linked with falls, fractures, and higher mortality rates (13). Although sarcopenia is common in both men and women, the current review will address the potential role of combination therapy just in men.

The GH/IGF-I axis and testosterone (T) levels (especially biologically available T) have all been reported to decline with aging in such a way that older men may be considered partially GH and T deficient (14, 15). Both GH and T are powerful anabolic agents that promote nitrogen retention, increase muscle mass and bone mass, and promote muscle protein synthesis (16, 17). Conditions of absolute deficiency of GH or T that occur in young men as the GH deficiency (GHD) syndrome or hypogonadism present with alterations in body composition and reduced bone mineral density (BMD), muscle strength and function, and aerobic capacity — changes that resemble those that occur in healthy elderly men (18, 19). The aging-associated decline in GH and/or T secretion may contribute to the detrimental aspects of aging (20, 21). Replacement therapy with GH and T, respectively, in GHD and hypogonadal adults improves and reverses most of these detrimental changes (22, 23). Thus, it was reasoned that treatment with GH and T may confer clinical benefits in older men, and indeed, Rudman et al. (20) in his pioneering study showed that this could happen, but he studied a highly selected group of subjects, and although pivotal, the applicability of his findings remains controversial 20 yr later.

In this review, we present the existing evidence behind the argument that restoration of anabolic hormone profile is necessary to improve or preserve physical function in older men, and we evaluate critically the different studies that have assessed the effects of GH and/or T (alone or in combination) in healthy older men.
II. Background

There is no other tissue that declines more dramatically with aging than skeletal muscle (24). This decline starts in the third decade of life and is associated with an even more striking decline of muscle strength and power, as has been shown in both longitudinal and cross-sectional studies (25, 26). These changes of muscle tissue are qualitative as well as quantitative because there is both a preferential atrophy of fast twitch type II muscle fibers and an impairment of metabolic capacity (27).

Aging is also associated with a progressive decrease in exercise capacity that occurs regardless of physical activity and accelerates with each successive decade (10). The mechanism behind this is unclear, but one possible explanation is accumulating oxidative damage because both mitochondria DNA abundance and ATP production have been shown to decline with aging (28). This, in association with the increase in fatigability that occurs with aging (29), may contribute to reduced physical activity commonly observed in older people (30). Restricted physical activity is a hallmark of aging and is closely associated with progression to frailty and disability (31). It is of great importance for two main reasons. First, by reducing energy expenditure and more specifically exercise energy expenditure (32) and without appropriate dietary change, it may facilitate the accumulation of total fat, visceral fat (VF), and im BF (33), all being strongly associated with an adverse metabolic profile, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (11, 34). Second, and most importantly, restricted physical activity may further compromise the already impaired muscle adaptation to habitual activity and training observed in older men (35).

Muscle adaptation to exercise comprises three main processes. First is muscle protein accretion, which results in expansion of the myofibers, second is the enhancement of mitochondrial function, and third is the proliferation of muscle stem cells, called satellite cells, which provide the necessary myonuclei to sustain muscle hypertrophy. IGF-I is directly involved in two of these processes because it stimulates protein accretion [via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-Akt-mammalian target of rapamycin pathway (36)], whereas a putative splice variant of IGF-I called mechano-growth factor (MGF), locally expressed in response to exercise, activates the muscle satellite cells (37). In a similar fashion, T increases muscle protein synthesis, stimulates satellite cell proliferation, and induces myogenesis while simultaneously inhibiting adipogenesis (38, 39).

Aging is associated with several functional changes of the endocrine system. Daily production of GH starts to decrease from the third decade of life by almost 14% for each passing decade, with a marked attenuation of GH secretory pulse amplitude but not frequency (14, 40). IGF-I levels decrease in parallel with the reduction of GH secretion, and 30% of older people could be considered GHD in that their IGF-I levels are lower than the lower limit of the young adult normal range (41). In a similar but less dramatic fashion, T levels decline with increasing age, and this has been seen in both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies (42). In the recently updated Baltimore Longitudinal Study, the incidence of hypogonadism, defined as total T levels at or below 11.2 nmol/liter, increased from 20% in those aged 60 to 70 yr to more than 50% in those aged over 80 yr (15).

Thus, it appears that both exercise capacity and the anabolic hormone profile necessary for muscle tissue integrity are compromised in older men. Exercise improves muscle function and exercise capacity in healthy older men when a resistance-training program of high intensity and sufficient duration is undertaken (43). The hypertrophic response of muscle to training in older men is blunted when compared with younger counterparts, and this has been attributed (at least in part) to the deficient anabolic hormone profile and locally expressed milieu (35, 44). Recent evidence suggests that in healthy young men, muscle protein synthesis starts to increase in response to intensities of resistance exercise as low as 20% of 1 repetition maximum (1RM). This underlines the importance of exercise and leisure time physical activity in maintaining normal muscle tissue homeostasis (45). The higher levels of cytokines (largely IL-6) recorded in older men, which increase markedly during intense exercise, could inhibit the anabolic stimulus of IGF-I and render this approach catabolic rather than anabolic (46). This may at least partially explain the failure of healthy (previously sedentary) older men to maintain a long-term exercise program (47). Thus, the consistent finding of an improvement in exercise capacity in the two studies measured in well older men after combined treatment with GH and T is potentially of great importance (48, 49). The changes that occur normally with aging may eventually impair physical function to the extent that frailty develops (Fig. 1).

III. The Growth Hormone/IGF-I Axis and Testosterone Secretion and Aging

A. Aging and the somatotropic axis

1. Neuroendocrine regulation of GH secretion

GH, the most abundant pituitary hormone, is a single chain polypeptide of 191 amino acids, which is secreted in a pulsatile fashion by the somatotrophic cells in the anterior pituitary gland and whose secretion is directly controlled by hypothalamic and peripheral factors acting on the somatotrophs [reviewed by Giustina and Veldhuis (50)]. Three
hypothalamic peptides in a fine coordinated interplay regulate pulsatile GH secretion: hypothalamic GHRH, which stimulates GH secretion; somatostatin (SS), which inhibits GH secretion; and ghrelin, recently discovered as the endogenous ligand of previously identified GH secretagogue receptor and suggested to be a powerful regulator of GH secretion in humans (51). The GH secretagogue receptor is distinct from the GHRH receptor (51). Ghrelin is secreted by the stomach but is also expressed in many other tissues, including the pituitary, and was suggested to be a powerful regulator of GH secretion in men in experimental settings (52, 53). It was proposed that ghrelin facilitates a periodic secretory burst of GH by inhibiting nocturnal SS, but so far the exact role under physiological conditions has not been established (54, 55). Avram et al. (55) have examined ghrelin secretory dynamics over 48 h in the fed and fasted state using frequent (every 10 min) sampling and found no change in ghrelin levels despite a clear secretory burst of GH, whereas Nass et al. (54), using a similar technique, concluded differently in that they found evidence that ghrelin amplified the GH pulses.

GH has direct effects, but many of its actions are mediated through circulating and locally expressed IGF-I (reviewed in Refs. 56 and 57). Circulating IGF-I is largely (~70%) derived from the liver in response to pituitary GH, whereas IGF-I in turn appears to play an active role in regulating GH secretion through a negative feedback mechanism because infusion of IGF-I rapidly suppresses GH pulsatile secretion in humans (58).

According to the initial somatomedin hypothesis, liver-derived IGF-I was considered as the primary mediator of many of the responses regulated by GH in peripheral tissues (56). This was subsequently modified after gene deletion experiments, which have shown almost normal growth and development in mice completely lacking liver IGF-I and so having undetectable circulating IGF-I levels (59, 60). Consequently, it was proposed that circulating IGF-I and its ternary complex with the acid-labile subunit and IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3, with which it is circulating in plasma, represent only a dynamic reservoir of GH secretion, and that growth regulation instead occurs mainly by an autocrine/paracrine mode through locally produced IGF-I (56); however, not all agree with this hypothesis.

GH receptors on the other hand have been identified in almost every tissue (61, 62), and GH is the principal regulator of IGF-I expression in tissues (63, 64). This, along with the fact that growth occurs in mice lacking liver IGF-I, indicates that GH has a direct effect on several target tissues such as skeletal muscle, adipose, and bone, possibly by stimulating locally expressed IGF-I (56). Recently, however, a specific role of circulating IGF-I on kidney, prostate, and liver size and cor-
tical bone that could not be replaced by the locally expressed IGF-I has been suggested (65).

IGF-I in turn circulates in plasma bound to IGFBP, the latter being not only a simple carrier of the IGF-I but also able to modulate its action (reviewed in Ref. 66). Six IGFBP have been purified from biological fluids, and their cDNA has been cloned. Two IGFBP merit specific attention. First, IGFBP-3 is the main protein carrier of IGF-I because it carries almost 70% of the IGF-I in the circulation. It forms stable, high molecular mass (~150 kDa) ternary complexes because it binds to IGF-I and an acid-labile subunit. IGFBP-3 levels do not fluctuate throughout the day, and its production by the liver is closely regulated by GH directly or through IGF-I (67, 68). In contrast, IGFBP-1 is the most dynamic IGFBP and is mainly regulated by insulin, which has been shown immediately to suppress IGFBP-1 transcript levels in liver (69). IGFBP-1 fluctuates widely throughout the day and has a significant strong negative correlation with free IGF-I levels. Aging is characterized by higher BF and thus insulin resistance, which in turn may explain the high IGFBP-1 levels observed in older people (70).

GH stimulates linear growth in children by acting directly and indirectly on the epiphyseal plates of long bones (reviewed in Refs. 71 and 72). GH also has specific anabolic actions, including stimulation of protein synthesis (73–77) and bone accretion (78–81) in both GHD and normal adults. Acute and short-term administration of IGF-I has been shown similarly to increase protein synthesis (82–84); however, a 1-yr study of the administration of IGF-I in postmenopausal women failed to increase lean body mass (LBM) (85). Regarding carbohydrate metabolism, GH induces insulin resistance (86, 87), whereas IGF-I has potent glucose-lowering effects and increases insulin sensitivity despite suppressing insulin levels (88, 89). Finally, regarding lipid metabolism, GH seems to be a strong lipolytic agent because GH infusion rapidly increases free fatty acid (FFA) and glycerol and promotes FFA oxidation (90, 91), whereas chronic administration of GH has consistently been found to reduce total and abdominal fat mass in GHD patients (16, 22), in young obese men (92, 93), and in healthy older men (48, 49, 94). Conversely, because IGF-I receptor signaling in adipocytes does not appear to be crucial for the development and differentiation of adipose tissue (95), IGF-I has reduced lipolytic effect compared with GH, as has been demonstrated in postmenopausal women and GHD young adults (85, 89, 96). Recent reports have recorded an increase in BF after chronic administration of IGF-I in patients with Laron’s syndrome (97). The effects of GH on substrate metabolism in humans have been recently reviewed (98).

2. GH secretion and aging

This subject has been reviewed in Refs. 50 and 99. Integrated daily GH (IDGH) secretion (Fig. 2) and IGF-I production decline progressively during adult life (14, 40, 100–103). Consequently, more than 30% of older men have IGF-I levels lower than the young adult reference range (41, 104, 105). GH is secreted almost exclusively through the 10 to 20 daily recorded secretory bursts, with the highest pulses occurring during the period of deep sleep, so that more than 70% of daily GH is secreted during the night (50).

Aging is associated with a significant alteration of GH secretion patterns so that most of the GH is secreted during the day instead and is associated with a steep decline of IDGH secretion (100) (Fig. 3). The latter is affected mainly through decreasing the GH pulse amplitude, whereas the pulse frequency and GH half-life remain the same (14, 106). Accordingly, it has been demonstrated that for each decade of increasing age, IDGH secretion falls by 14%, and in a 70-yr-old man, on average GH secretion has declined by more than 70% (14, 101).

Increased SS tone, decreased GHRH and ghrelin stimulatory effects, or even increased IGF-I negative feedback have all been proposed as possible causes of the hyposomatotropism of aging (50). The latter mechanism had been excluded by Chapman et al. (107), who showed instead attenuated suppression of GH secretion after IGF-I infusion. Conversely, coadministration of arginine, a presumed SS inhibitor, and GHRH or hexarelin, a synthetic GH-releasing peptide, has been shown to restore the blunted response of GH to GHRH or hexarelin in the elderly, with no differences when compared with the young, which implies an increased SS inhibitory tone in older people (108, 109). Furthermore, Hartman et al. (110) have demonstrated that fasting can increase pulsatile GH secretion in older men to a similar degree of that observed in young men with no relationship to sleep stages. In contrast, others have concluded that reduced GHRH activity rather than increased SS tone is responsible for the decline in GH secretion (111, 112). Of great importance is a study where GHRH and SS were measured directly in the stalk-median eminence of conscious young and aged monkeys. Both decreased GHRH and increased SS pulse frequency and amplitude were recorded (113). In an elegant study, Russell-Aulet et al. (114) administered graded doses of a GHRH antagonist and tried to quantify the endogenous GHRH output in young and older men. The authors in accordance with the previous studies concluded that their results indicated that the fall in GH secretion with aging was due to reduced GHRH activity. Finally, Veldhuis et al. (115), elaborating in a series of studies and stressing the importance of pulsatile GH secretion in exerting its peripheral action (116),
postulated that decreased negative feedback of GH and IGF-I may indeed attenuate the renewal of high amplitude GH pulses. Both fat mass and sex hormones had been implicated as possible confounders in attenuating GH secretion with age (14, 101–103).

Of interest, it has been suggested that attenuation of IGF-I feedback inhibition of pulsatile GH secretion is one of the mechanisms (117, 118) through which pharmacological but not physiological doses of T administration may increase GH secretion in adults (119–121). It appears, however, that age is a strong independent predictor of GH secretion because older reproductive-age women were found to have lower IDGH secretion when compared with young women despite having higher estradiol levels (122).

VF, apart from sex steroids, influences GH secretion in young men (123). Because VF increases with aging, it has been suggested that attenuation of IGF-I feedback inhibition of pulsatile GH secretion is one of the mechanisms (117, 118) through which pharmacological but not physiological doses of T administration may increase GH secretion in adults (119–121). It appears, however, that age is a strong independent predictor of GH secretion because older reproductive-age women were found to have lower IDGH secretion when compared with young women despite having higher estradiol levels (122).

VF, apart from sex steroids, influences GH secretion in young men (123). Because VF increases with aging, it has been suggested that this may well be responsible for the decline of GH secretion observed in older men (103). Although both sex steroids and BF jointly could determine the GH secretion in young men (124), it seems that age per se is the major determinant of the decline of GH secretion with aging (102, 115). In this regard, Holt et al. (102) demonstrated that aerobic fitness and age, rather than BF, predict the GH secretion in response to exercise.

Figure 2. The relationship between the 24-h integrated GH concentration (IC-GH; y-axis) and age (x-axis) of 89 male and 84 female normal subjects. [Redrawn from Z. Zadik et al.: The influence of age on the 24-hour integrated concentration of growth hormone in normal individuals. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 60:513–516, 1985 (40), with permission. © The Endocrine Society.]
The hypothalamic GnRH release mechanism, which results in low-amplitude, high-frequency pulses and consequent decreased T levels. This was achieved by selectively blocking the negative feedback on the hypothalamus (either with an aromatase inhibitor or by the administration of ketoconazole) and quantifying the LH response with deconvolution analysis (143, 144).

**1. Epidemiology of declining androgen levels in older men**

Testosterone and BioT levels have been shown to decline with aging in several cross-sectional (145–149) and longitudinal studies (42, 150–153). Nevertheless, some earlier cross-sectional studies had reported no significant differences in T levels when older men were healthy and fit (138, 154). In longitudinal studies, however, it has been demonstrated consistently that the total T declines with an absolute rate of 0.124 nmol/liter · yr, or otherwise by 0.5 to 1% each year (42, 150, 151). Even higher rates of decline were recorded when free T (FT) was measured (147). In a large longitudinal study with almost 30 yr of follow-up, the prevalence of hypogonadism increased to about 20% of men over 60 yr of age and 30% of men over 70. If the FT index was used as a criterion of hypogonadism, the prevalence increased to more than 60% of those over 70 yr of age (15).

**IV. Clinical Consequences of Declining Growth Hormone and Testosterone in Aging Men**

We will review briefly in this section the findings of some epidemiological studies that associate the changes in the GH/IGF-I axis and T levels with some of the detrimental changes occurring with increasing age.

**A. Associations between clinical manifestations of aging and sex steroid status**

1. **Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and the metabolic syndrome**

   An inverse relationship between T levels and “all cause” and specific CVD mortality and morbidity has been shown in numerous large population-based prospective (155–158) and cross-sectional studies (159). Others, however, could not corroborate this association (160). It has been shown that plasma T and SHBG are inversely associated with VF and that a low T level, together with low SHBG levels, is a strong predictor for the development of metabolic syndrome and diabetes in men (161–163). The association between age, BF, and SHBG levels creates confusion. Obesity is associated with low SHBG levels. Insulin has been reported to inhibit hepatic SHBG production both in vitro and in vivo (164, 165). Thus, the hyperinsulinemia observed in abdominally obese men may indeed suppress the SHBG levels, and this in turn implies an adverse prognosis. On the other hand, whereas in aging there is a progressive accumulation of intraabdominal fat, SHBG levels are increasing (41). This confusing finding may partially be explained by the insulin resistance observed in aging (166). Nevertheless, in a recent cross-sectional study of men older than 70 yr, a strong negative association between insulin resistance and levels of SHBG and T was observed, indicating that insulin probably continues to play a role in SHBG regulation in aging (167). Overall, SHBG and total T are more strongly associated with diabetes and the metabolic syndrome than FT. In longitudinal analysis, only SHBG is independently associated with diabetes risk (168). For a detailed review regarding the association of CVD and androgens, see Refs. 169 and 170.

2. **Muscle mass, strength, and physical function**

   A direct association between T or FT levels and LBM or appendicular body mass or myofibrillar protein synthesis

---

**Figure 3.**

Serum GH profiles from a young woman, a young man, an older woman, and an older man sampled every 20 min for 24 h. Pulses were categorized as large (L) or small (S) depending on whether the rise was greater or less than three times the threshold criterion for a pulse. [Reproduced from K. Y. Ho et al.: Effects of sex and age on the 24-hour profile of growth hormone secretion in man: importance of endogenous estradiol concentrations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 64:51–58, 1987 (100), with permission. © The Endocrine Society.]
has been consistently reported in several cross-sectional population-based studies (171–174). On the other hand, muscle strength and physical function have been reported to be positively associated with FT or BioT in several, but not all, of the above studies. Hence, in one study no association was found between T and indices of frailty, although a positive association with grip strength was observed (175). In another study, T had a weak association with muscle strength and physical function, which could be explained by the strong association of T and LBM observed in that study (174). Recent longitudinal observational studies of 6- and 4-yr duration have shown a positive association between low FT levels and the decline in physical function, mobility, and occurrence of falls in older men (176, 177). Another study of 3-yr duration, however, could not confirm these findings (178).

3. Bone mineral density

Hypogonadism is a major risk factor for osteoporosis and an increased rate of fractures in young men (179). No clear association between levels of T and BMD in older men could be established, however, because relevant studies have produced conflicting results. Hence, in a large cross-sectional study involving 2447 older men, an association between the prevalence of hypogonadism and that of osteoporosis was recorded (180). This is in accordance with several large cross-sectional studies, which have demonstrated a positive relation between T levels and BMD in older men that was apparent only in univariate analysis. In multivariate analysis, however, including estradiol levels or correcting for confounders, this association was abolished (172, 181–183). In another study, Mellström et al. (184) have reported that FT was an independent positive predictor of BMD in different bone sites and that FT rather than total T below the median was an independent predictor of prevalent osteoporosis-related bone fractures in a cohort of 2900 older men. In contrast, Araujo et al. (185) in a recent cross-sectional study involving 976 men reported that neither T nor FT was associated with BMD, as has also been reported by others (186). Longitudinal studies have also produced conflicting findings, with some reporting that FT is an independent, although weak, predictor of rapid bone loss in older men (180, 187) and others not (188, 189). Conversely, estrogens appear to play an important role in bone loss with aging, even in older men, because estradiol or bioavailable estradiol consistently has been found to be an independent strong predictor of BMD, markers of bone resorption, rapid bone loss, and fracture rates in both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies (181, 183, 187, 189–191). Indeed, a recent large prospective study of 4.6-yr duration reported that low bioavailable estradiol, high SHBG, and low BioT were associated with lower BMD and a faster decline of hip BMD in men (191). Of note, higher SHBG levels have been shown to be independently associated with fracture risk in males (191).

4. Mood, quality of life (QoL), and cognition

Some of the symptoms of hypogonadism, such as decreased libido, low energy, irritability, mood swings, and anxiety, overlap with symptoms commonly seen in depression (192). Recent experimental studies have demonstrated T to increase cortical serotonin 2A receptor-binding densities in the male rat brain and also to have long- and short-term γ-amino-butryc acid-ergic properties, which suggest that T has an important role in modulating behavior and mood (193, 194). Furthermore, differences in depression prevalence between young women and older men have been attributed to differences in sex gonadal steroids (195). In this regard, in the Rancho Bernardo Study, which included men more than 50 yr old, an inverse association was found between the depression inventory score and BioT levels, indicating that low T was associated with low mood (196). In accordance with this, in a recent large cross-sectional study of 3987 older men, a strong negative correlation between FT and depression was also recorded (197). Shores et al. (198), in a prospective study of a 2-yr observational period, reported an increased incidence of diagnosed depressive illness in hypogonadal men older than 45 yr. Seidman et al. (199) detected a negative association of T and dysthymic disorder (a mild form of depression), but not of major depression in older men. The same authors have also suggested that CAG repeat polymorphism of the androgen receptor (AR) gene may be a confounder because low T levels were associated with increased depression only in men with short CAG repeats (200). On the other hand, T’Sjoen et al. (201) failed to demonstrate any significant relation between FT levels and depression scores, even when AR repeats polymorphism was taken into account. Similarly, others in small cross-sectional studies, also including young and middle-aged men, failed to record any relationship (202–204). Nevertheless, T administration has been shown to improve mood in hypogonadal men in several studies (23, 205, 206). Testosterone as a therapeutic tool in depression has produced inconsistent results (207–210). Thus, it appears that low T levels are related to depressive mood, but not to major depressive disorders, and low T levels may contribute to symptoms of low mood in older men. Similarly, T levels have been associated with better cognitive status and memory performance [Blessed Information-Memory-Concentration (BIMC) Test, and the Selective Reminding Test (long-term storage)] in older men in several (211, 212), but not all, of the studies. The associations between T levels and sexual
B. Associations between clinical manifestations of aging and GH/IGF-I axis

1. CVD and the metabolic syndrome

Several lines of evidence suggest that IGF-I has an important role in the development of atherosclerosis and CVD (213) because administration of GH to GHD adults has been clearly demonstrated to reduce atherosclerosis risk factors and reverse early atherosclerotic changes (214–217). This beneficial effect of GH may in part be the result of increases in nitric oxide (NO) synthase by IGF-I (218). Indeed, administration of GH in healthy men was shown to increase NO bioavailability and endothelial progenitor cells, the latter being markers of vascular repair (219), whereas an inverse association between IGF-I levels and endothelial dysfunction has been recorded (220). Thus, a cross-sectional study of randomly selected men younger than 60 yr has reported a negative association between IGF-I levels and angiographically documented coronary artery disease (221). Similarly, an inverse association of IGF-I and carotid atherosclerotic lesions and intima media thickness (IMT) has been found in healthy older men (222, 223). Others, however, have produced divergent findings because a positive association between IGF-I levels and endothelial dysfunction has been recorded (220). Thus, a cross-sectional study of randomly selected men younger than 60 yr has reported a negative association between IGF-I levels and angiographically documented coronary artery disease (221).

2. Muscle mass, strength, and physical function

No clear association has been established between IGF-I levels and measurements of body composition, muscle strength, and physical performance in older men because large epidemiological studies have produced negative findings. In one cross-sectional study involving 349 men and women, an association between IGF-I levels and grip strength or physical function was recorded in overweight (body mass index >30 kg/m²) subjects, but not in normal weight subjects (243). In another study of older persons with mild to moderate functional limitations, no association was recorded between IGF-I and measures of physical function, body composition, or strength (244). Another study involving women older than 70 yr enrolled in the Women’s Health and Aging Study, however, found a positive association of IGF-I and muscle strength and physical performance (245). Similarly, Kostka et al. (246) could detect a correlation of muscle power and IGF-I levels in older women, but not in men. Furthermore, data from the Rancho Bernardo Study (247) failed to show any relation between IGF-I levels and LBM or BF in a cohort of 420 men aged 50–90 yr, which in turn confirmed the finding of the Framingham Heart Study that also failed to detect any association between IGF-I and body composition measurements in older men (248). In accordance with this, Schoen et al. (249) could not detect an association between sc fat or VF assessed by computed tomography (CT) scan and IGF-I levels in 267 healthy men aged 55–77 yr.

3. Bone mineral density

Higher BMD and a lower rate of osteoporotic fractures were consistently shown to be associated with higher IGF-I levels in several cross-sectional studies in both men and women (250–253). In a number of rather small cross-sectional studies, a positive association between BMD and IGF-I levels was observed in older men (250, 254, 255). Recently, in corroboration of these findings, Khosla et al. (256), in a cross-sectional study of 269 men aged 21–97 yr, reported that IGF-I is correlated with radius trabecular microstructure because the conversion of thick trabeculae into more numerous, thinner trabeculae was associated with IGF-I levels in young but not older men (where sex steroids were better correlated). Earlier studies could not detect an association of BMD and IGF-I levels in older men (257, 258). Furthermore, several studies that have included both men and women have demonstrated gender differences in association of IGF-I with BMD and osteo-
porosis. In this regard, Janssen et al. (253) have reported a positive association between IGF-I and BMD but only in men, not women. In contrast, data from the Rancho Bernardo and the Framingham Heart Study reported an association in women only (252, 259). In a similar way, studies that assessed the role of IGF-I gene promoter polymorphism on BMD have produced conflicting findings because in one study, idiopathic osteoporosis in men was associated with homozygosity for a specific allele 192-bp (260). In contrast, in another study homozygosity was associated with a greater rate of bone loss over a 2-yr observation period in women, but not in men (261). Finally, the role of IGF-I levels as predictors of bone fractures was suggested from the results of some cross-sectional studies (262) but not others (263).

4. Mood, QoL, and cognition

The limited amount of data in the literature is conflicting regarding an association of IGF-I and mood or QoL. Hence, Janssen et al. (264), in a cross-sectional study of 218 healthy elderly persons, reported an association between IGF-I levels and perceived QoL, but not with physical function. In another study, Raynaud-Simon et al. (265) could not verify a positive association between self-perceived QoL and IGF-I, whereas Papadakis et al. (266) also reported no association between physical or cognitive function and IGF-I levels.

On the other hand, a positive association has been reported consistently between cognitive performance and IGF-I levels in older men (267–271). Hence, in a cross-sectional study involving 636 men older than 74 yr, IGF-I was independently and positively related to the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and verbal fluency, and IGFBP-1 was inversely associated with MMSE (269). This has been recently confirmed in a meta-analysis involving 13 studies and a total number of 1981 subjects, which reported a relation between IGF-I levels and cognitive function in healthy older men (270). In another prospective study of a cohort of U.S. male physicians, it has been shown that IGF-I levels of middle life may predict better cognitive function in latter life (267). In this regard, it has been shown in a placebo-controlled study that GH administration improves cognitive function in older GHD adults (272).

V. Similarities between the Adult GHD Syndrome and Hypogonadism and the Aging Phenotype

A. GHD syndrome and the aging phenotype

Several reviews have comprehensively presented the symptoms and signs of the GHD syndrome (22, 273, 274). Adults with the GHD syndrome have a 7 to 8% increase in BF with a commensurate decrease in LBM, corresponding to approximately 4 kg (16, 275–278). The increase in BF mostly reflects an accumulation in VF (279, 280). Additionally, reductions in extracellular water, plasma volume, and total blood volume in GHD patients have been reported (281–283). The decline of skeletal muscle mass seen in GHD inevitably results in lower isokinetic torque production and isometric force-generating capacity (18, 284, 285). Cuneo et al. (18) first reported a 35% reduction in isometric quadriceps force per unit muscle mass (assessed by CT scan) when compared with age-matched controls. Janssen et al. (286) reported normal muscle quality. Muscle biopsies produced conflicting reports, with some reporting no changes in muscle fiber type characteristics and percentage (287, 288), others reporting a higher proportion of fast twitch type II muscle fibers (289) and still others reporting a reduction in size of fiber type II similar to that seen in older men (290). As anticipated, whole body and skeletal muscle protein turnover is lower when compared with matched young healthy counterparts (291). Maximal aerobic capacity is reduced in GHD patients to levels comparable with those observed in congestive heart failure, being on average 72–82% of those in matched normal controls (18, 292). Woodhouse et al. (290) have reported that the anaerobic threshold occurred at a higher percentage of maximum rate of O$_2$ consumption (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) (73%) compared with (45–60%) in normal adults. Walking at low and fast speeds requires 83 and 120%, respectively, of the anaerobic threshold, which may explain the increased fatigue of GHD adults.

Numerous studies have shown that BMD at different skeletal sites assessed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scan or quantitative CT is approximately 1 SD below the mean in severe GHD patients of both childhood and adult onset (293–298). GHD per se appears to be the key factor in the osteopenia recorded because no differences in BMD were found between patients with isolated GHD and multiple hormone deficiencies (295).

The age of onset of GHD is a major determinant of the severity of BMD reduction. It has been shown that patients with onset before 30 yr of age are severely osteopenic regardless of the duration of GHD or type of onset, whereas those with onset after 60 yr of age had BMD no different from that of age-matched controls (299). Those with onset in middle age had a BMD reduction of intermediate degree (294), suggesting that both peak BMD and subsequent rates of decline are affected. In this regard, Murray et al. (299), in a cohort of 125 GHD adults divided by age groups, reported BMD Z scores of less than −2.0 in 30% (at lumbar) and 36% (at femoral neck) of patients younger than 30 yr at onset, compared with 14 and 0%,
respectively, in patients with onset after 60 yr of age. Thus, it appears that GH in teenage and early adult life is essential for the formation of a normal mature skeleton. BMD is a surrogate marker of bone fractures, and several studies have reported an increased fracture rate in GHD adults when compared with a control population (300–302). Hence, Wüster et al. (300), in a large epidemiological study of more than 3000 GHD patients, clearly demonstrated that the prevalence of osteoporotic fractures was 2.66 times higher than that of the normal population.

It appears that the GHD syndrome may also be considered as a preatherogenic condition because several atherogenic risk factors are clustered in the classical phenotype, which in turn may explain the increased cardiovascular mortality observed in hypopituitary adults (reviewed in Ref. 213). The excess CVD mortality in hypopituitary patients receiving conventional hormone replacement treatment, but not GH, has been demonstrated in several retrospective studies (303–305), and these findings were confirmed by a prospective study, although undertreatment of the T deficiency or overtreatment with other hormones such as cortisol or T₄ could also be implicated (306, 307).

Numerous studies have shown that GHD adults have elevated levels of total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and triglycerides (TG) and reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels when compared with a reference population (293, 308–310). Recent data from the Kims study including 1289 GHD adults reported that the percentage of patients with TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C levels outside the reference range was 73, 62, and 46%, respectively (311). Lipid concentrations are dependent on very low-density apoB (VLDL apoB) metabolism, and increased levels of VLDL apoB have been implicated in many hyperlipidemic disorders that predispose to atherosclerosis (312). In this regard, Cummings et al. (313) have reported an increased secretion and reduced catabolism of VLDL apoB in GHD adults when compared with healthy matched controls. Of note, increased secretion of VLDL apoB has also been recorded in older men, possibly because of an increased accumulation of VF (314, 315).

Insulin resistance is another well-described feature of GHD syndrome (316–318). Studies using a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp have demonstrated that GHD patients are insulin resistant when compared with normal controls, whereas Hew et al. (318), by also performing muscle biopsies, have demonstrated that insulin resistance is mainly due to the inhibition of the glucose storage pathway and reduced glycogen synthase activity in peripheral tissues. The latter is in accordance with an earlier report that assessed fuel metabolism by indirect calorimetry and glucose metabolism using D-[3-³H]glucose and suggested that there were reduced stores of glycogen in GHD adults (319).

Endothelial dysfunction characterized by reduced NO bioavailability, an early and probably reversible event in the process of atherosclerosis, is another feature of GHD. Indeed, reduced NO bioavailability (218), increased carotid and brachial artery stiffness (320, 321), reduced aortic compliance (322), impaired flow-mediated brachial artery dilatation, and increased blood pressure (321, 323) have been described in GHD adults. Furthermore, the crude prevalence of the metabolic syndrome was found to be 42% in a large cohort of 2531 GHD patients enrolled in the Hypopituitary Control and Complications Study (324). In this regard, it is not surprising that increased carotid IMT detected by ultrasonography, an early morphological change of atherosclerosis, and atheromatous lesions have been consistently demonstrated in symptom-free GHD patients (325–328). Finally, impaired cardiac function has been found in young GHD adults, which includes reduced left ventricular (LV) mass, a 13% decrease in LV ejection fraction, and abnormal LV diastolic filling (329–331).

### B. Hypogonadism and the aging phenotype

Reduced cortical and spine BMD and increased incidence of osteoporosis are well-described features of clinically overt hypogonadism in men (19, 257, 332–336). The latter comprises many clinical conditions that may affect differently both the type and the severity of bone loss (reviewed in Ref. 337). In this regard, Katznelson et al. (19) have reported reduced trabecular spine BMD (assessed by quantitative CT) and spinal BMD but not in radial BMD in men with acquired hypogonadism when compared with eugonadal controls. In another study, it has been shown that the trabecular architecture of the distal tibia, assessed by magnetic resonance microimaging, is greatly disorganized in hypogonadal men (334).

It appears that postpubertally acquired hypogonadism, as in patients with pituitary diseases, anorexia, or chemical castration in prostate cancer treatment, mainly compromises the vertebral BMD (19, 338). On the other hand, congenital or acquired prepubertal hypogonadism such as Klinefelter’s syndrome, isolated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH), or delayed puberty reduces both cortical and trabecular bone (257, 335, 339). Reduced cortical and trabecular BMD were reported in patients with IHH before and even after epiphyseal closure when compared with eugonadal men (340). The fact that similar decrements of both trabecular and cortical BMD of the spine were recorded in hypogonadal patients, regardless of the cause of hypogonadism, which in turn responded with a similar increment of both the trabecular and cortical BMD
after T replacement, underlines the causal role of T on bone loss (333).

Studies that assessed the effects of male hypogonadism on bone remodeling have produced conflicting findings, with some suggesting increased bone resorption and formation in line with observations in postmenopausal women (341), whereas others have reported low bone turnover osteoporosis (340).

Although case-control studies have reported an increase in the prevalence of hypogonadism in men with a history of bone fractures (179, 342), prospective studies that clearly define a cause-effect relationship between male hypogonadism and bone fracture are lacking.

There are several pieces of evidence that underscore the importance of estrogens in male bone physiology, such as case reports of patients with rare mutations of the estrogen receptor or aromatase gene who are osteopenic (343, 344), whereas lower bioavailable estrogens appear to be a stronger independent predictor than T of osteoporosis and bone fracture in men (189–191). On the other hand, high SHBG levels were recently reported to be independently associated with increased fracture risk in men in a longitudinal study (345). Accordingly, a recent placebo-controlled study has shown that administration of an aromatase inhibitor to healthy older men for 1 yr has decreased spine BMD compared with placebo, although T levels increased (346). This finding is of importance because it questions the role of nonaromatized androgens or selective AR modulators that do not aromatize as therapeuetic modalities in healthy older men.

Testosterone appears to have a crucial and independent role in bone resorption and formation in men because AR are expressed in both osteoclasts and osteoblasts (347). Hence, Leder et al. (348) have compared bone resorption and formation markers in states of androgen and estrogen deficiency, selective estrogen deficiency, and normal estrogen and androgen repletion and in healthy young men after induced hypogonadism, and they demonstrated that T has an independent role in regulating both resorption and formation of bone.

Cross-sectional studies in young and older healthy men have demonstrated that low T levels are associated with an adverse lipid profile, higher inflammatory cytokines, and increased risk of atherosclerosis and carotid atherosclerosis in both healthy older men and diabetic men (223, 349–354). Additionally, an inverse association between T levels and trunk fat, particularly VF, which in turn is strongly related to insulin resistance, has been described (355). Testosterone administration has been shown to decrease VF in obese men, whereas low T levels, by reducing lipolysis, have been postulated to facilitate the accumulation of VF (356). A recent meta-analysis has shown that the prevalence of hypogonadism (defined by a low T level) is much higher in diabetic men (357), whereas another study found that by measuring their FT levels with the equilibrium dialysis method, 33% of type 2 diabetic men were hypogonadal by this definition (358). Furthermore, case-control and prospective studies have demonstrated that low T and low SHBG levels strongly predict the development of type 2 diabetes and of the metabolic syndrome in healthy men (161, 359, 360). It should be noted, however, that no causality between insulin resistance and other variables of the metabolic syndrome could be inferred from these associations because they could be explained by changes in BF. In this regard, accumulation of BF precedes the insulin resistance state observed in patients with prostate cancer after chemical castration (361). In fact, the increased abdominal fat observed in type 2 diabetes could result in insulin resistance, which in turn suppresses SHBG levels and consequently T levels. This could explain the paradox of high SHBG levels being linked to an increased risk of bone fractures mentioned before, whereas low values were linked to the metabolic syndrome and CVD. Introducing into the multivariate analysis confounders such as abdominal BF or LBM may help clarify this. Nevertheless, Yialamas et al. (362) have reported that withdrawal of T replacement treatment in patients with iHH (idiopathic hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism) reduced insulin sensitivity before any changes in body composition had occurred, which suggests that T may modulate insulin sensitivity independently of changes in body composition.

In contrast to the well-studied effects of acquired or congenital hypogonadism in young and middle-aged men on bone, the effects on body composition and function have not been thoroughly documented. Loss of libido, erectile dysfunction, and lack of secondary sexual characteristics are usually the main complaints (363).

Congenital or acquired hypogonadism in young or middle-aged men results in an increased BF percentage, reduced LBM, impaired muscle function, and an increased risk of cardiovascular risk factors (19, 353, 364, 365). One study has shown an increased percentage of BF and lower LBM in men with acquired hypogonadism when compared with age-matched normal men (19), whereas in another study, increased levels of LDL-C and TG with similar levels of HDL-C were recorded in patients with acquired hypogonadism (associated or not with hyperprolactinemia) (365). Insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes appear to occur commonly in patients with acquired or congenital forms of hypogonadism (366), and patients with Klinefelter’s syndrome have been shown to have increased morbidity and mortality as a result of diabetes (367, 368). A recent study has confirmed these findings, reporting the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in
adult patients with Klinefelter’s syndrome to be as high as 44%, compared with 10% in normal controls. These patients were also shown to have lower HDL-C and higher LDL-C and TG, all strongly correlated with truncal obesity assessed by DEXA scan (369). Although hypogonadism appears to be associated with increased BF and an adverse lipid profile, its effect on BF distribution is less well studied. Katznelson et al. (370) assessed BF distribution in acquired hypogonadism by quantitative CT scanning and reported an increase in abdominal sc fat and im adipose tissue but not in VF area, when compared with matched eugonadal men. This is important because it suggests that T may exert a stronger lipolytic effect on im adipose tissue and in sc abdominal or femoral fat rather than in intra-abdominal fat and may explain the sexual dimorphism of regional fat distribution (371). This is well illustrated in a study of healthy young men who were artificially rendered hypogonadal and subsequently administered different doses of T. The lower doses, which could not restore a eugonadal profile, were associated with higher increments of mainly sc abdominal and femoral BF, whereas higher supraphysiological doses caused a reduction of sc adipose tissue depot but, interestingly, not that of VF (372). Testosterone has been shown to exert its lipolytic action by modulating lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity in adipose tissue, with some studies reporting a higher inhibitory effect on LPL activity in abdominal sc fat compared with femoral (373), whereas others produced the opposite results (374). Finally, other well-described signs and symptoms commonly occurring in young or middle-aged hypogonadal men include low energy, mood, and sexual function (375, 376); low hemoglobin concentration and smaller prostate glands (377, 378) are seen in adults with hypogonadism of young onset.

VI. Effect of Growth Hormone and Testosterone on Men with the GHD Syndrome and Hypogonadism

It is of great importance to distinguish and consider studies that assess the effects of T on clinically overt hypogonadism occurring in young or middle-aged men from studies that assess the effect of T in healthy older men with low or low-normal T levels. This is because clinically overt hypogonadism is the result of several well-defined conditions where symptoms and signs can be attributed to the low T levels and the effectiveness of treatment is easily interpretable. Aging however, besides the progressive decline of T secretion presented in Section III.B, is also associated with a gradual decline of all physiological functions, which in turn may act as confounders in the interpretation of T treatment. Thus, by underscoring differences and similarities between these two clinical entities, it may indeed help us to understand the possibilities and limitations of T treatment in healthy older men.

A. Effects of testosterone on clinically overt hypogonadism in young and middle-aged men

Numerous studies have consistently shown that T replacement in young hypogonadal men increases BMD in both the hip and lumbar spine (19, 23, 333, 340, 341, 379–382). Subsequently, in a study where T was administered in the form of transdermal patches for a period of 3 yr in previously untreated hypogonadal men, BMD of the spine increased by 7.7%, whereas that of the femoral trochanter increased by 4% (23). In a second study where T was given in the form of a transdermal gel for a period up to 4 yr, similar increments were recorded (381), whereas im T administration to men with acquired hypogonadism increased trabecular BMD in the lumbar spine by 14% but not in the radius (19). Behre et al. (379) reported that long-term T replacement therapy in hypogonadal men (up to 16 yr) normalized and maintained spinal BMD in the age-specific normal range independently of the mode of T administration, whereas Leifke et al. (333) reported similar improvements in BMD independent of the type of hypogonadism treated. Finkelstein et al. (340) failed to corroborate these findings because they reported greater improvement in BMD in IHH patients with open epiphyses compared with those with fused ones. Although they recorded an improvement of spinal BMD, this did not return to normal. Summarizing, it appears that long-term T replacement improves and even normalizes BMD in young hypogonadal men. It appears that the BMD at baseline and the adequacy of treatment rather than mode of T administration as well as the type of hypogonadism determines the outcome. The highest rate of BMD improvement was recorded during the first year of treatment (379), with the peak reached after 24 months with a greater response observed in the vertebral bone rather than the hip (23, 381).

Considering the effect of T supplementation on bone turnover markers in young hypogonadal men, studies have produced conflicting results, with some reporting a decline of both bone formation and resorption markers (19, 23), while others report a decrease of bone resorption and an increase of bone formation in the first 6 months of treatment, which then plateaued (381, 383, 384).

Only a few studies assessed the effect of T on body composition in hypogonadal men. Bhasin et al. (385) administered T enanthate (100 mg/wk im) for 10 wk to seven hypogonadal men and reported an increase of 5 kg in LBM, an increase in muscle strength, and an appropriate
increase in thigh muscle size. They could not detect a decrease in BF, and whole body leucine kinetics were unaffected; they explained this by postulating a selective action of T on skeletal muscle mass. Katznelson et al. (19), using im T in a similar dosage in 36 men, reported a 7% increase in LBM and a decrease of 13% in sc fat (but not VF) after 18 months of treatment. In another study, T was administered in the form of a transdermal patch for 3 yr, and LBM increased by 3.1 kg whereas muscle strength and BF did not change significantly (23). A similar finding was reported by Wang et al. (383, 386), who compared the effects of a transdermal patch with that of the gel in a 6-month study. They found an improvement in LBM and strength, whereas BF decreased in the T gel group but not in the T patch group. Similar findings were reported by the same group of authors (384) regarding LBM and muscle strength after 6 months of treatment with sublingual T, but there was no effect on BF. In another study, Wang et al. (381), assessing the long-term effect of T gel administration in 163 hypogonadal men 19 to 68 yr old, reported an increase of LBM by 2 kg at 6 months, which was further increased to 3 kg at 30 months. Muscle strength did not change, whereas changes in BF were significant only in the young hypogonadal patients. The latter suggests that the lipolytic effect of T may be attenuated in older men. There was the anticipated increase of hematocrit from mild anemia to middle normal range hemoglobin and an increase of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) within the normal range; treatment was well tolerated.

Thus, it appears that T treatment in hypogonadal men consistently improves LBM, whereas its effect on BF and muscle strength is less clear. These findings corroborate the results of Brodsky et al. (387), who reported increased whole body and muscle protein synthesis in hypogonadal men after T administration. Additionally, studies that evaluated sexual function, mood, and QoL in hypogonadal men reported a remarkable improvement after T replacement. This occurs as early as d 30 of treatment and then plateaus and remains stable throughout the whole treatment period (23, 205, 206, 381, 384).

The effects of T on lipid profile and vascular function are complex and still a matter of contention (388). Several studies have assessed the effect of T on TC, LDL-C, and TG levels in hypogonadal men or in normal men who were rendered hypogonadal experimentally, with no consistent findings (19, 23, 381, 386, 389–394).

One study where T was administered to hypogonadal men showed an increase in TC and LDL-C (389), five found no changes (23, 381, 386, 391, 392), and two reported a decrease of LDL-C (390, 393). Also, no changes in TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG levels were reported in a study where different doses of T were administered in healthy young men with induced hypogonadism (394). Data regarding the effect of T administration on HDL-C levels are more consistent in hypogonadal males; T was found to decrease HDL-C levels in a dose, duration, and type of treatment-dependent manner (19, 392). In a meta-analysis of 19 studies published between 1987 and 1999, involving only young clinically overt hypogonadal men, Whitsel et al. (395) reported that im administration of an average dosage of 179 ± 13 mg of T every 2 wk for 6 months was associated with a decrease of 4 mg/dl HDL-C with a commensurate decrease of 5 mg/dl of LDL-C levels. These studies usually refer to im T administration, whereas sublingual or transdermal T administration for a period of up to 42 months did not adversely affect the lipid profile (23, 381, 386) in all but one study reported (392).

Testosterone stimulates hepatic lipase activity (396), which in turn has been shown to decrease HDL-C and LDL-C particle size and thus results in a more atherogenic lipid profile (397, 398). On the other hand, in vitro studies suggest that T can intensify reverse cholesterol transport from macrophages and thereby exert an antiatherogenic rather than a proatherogenic effect despite reducing HDL-C levels (399).

The lipolytic action of T decreases with time in parallel with the decrease in the fat depot. Furthermore, T administration reduces insulin resistance in hypogonadal diabetic men and decreases endogenous inflammatory cytokines in hypogonadal men (400, 401). On the other hand, shorter duration studies have reported that T administration impairs endothelium-dependent flow-mediated vasodilatation, a risk factor of atherosclerosis in young hypogonadal men (402, 403).

B. Effects of GH on the GHD syndrome

GH treatment reverses most of the changes associated with the GHD syndrome, with the most striking changes occurring in body composition. Accordingly, LBM has been shown to increase by 2.5–5.5 kg with a concomitant 5% increase of skeletal muscle, whereas BF decreased by 4–6 kg with a 30% decrease in VF (16, 275–278, 650). These changes in body composition are sustained during prolonged (5–10 yr) GH replacement, as has been demonstrated in a series of recent studies (79, 290, 404–407).

Neither isokinetic quadriceps peak torque nor isometric force has been shown to improve after GH treatment in GHD adults in studies where the duration of treatment did not exceed 6 months, although a clear increase in muscle mass was recorded (290, 408). Accordingly, Cuneo et al. (409) have recorded an increase in CSA of thigh muscle and an increase in limb girdle and hip flexors, whereas quadriceps peak torque and isometric force did not change. When GH was used for a period longer than 12 months, however, an
increase in muscle strength was consistently found (276, 284, 286, 410, 411). Recent studies of 5–10 yr duration (with no untreated control group for comparison) have reported an increase during the first 5 yr, which almost normalizes muscle strength, and thereafter a preservation of muscle strength and neuromuscular function against the age-related decline (276, 412). GH promotes protein accretion by stimulating amino acid uptake and incorporation into protein. Hence, Russell-Jones et al. (73, 74) in a series of studies have demonstrated an increase in whole body protein synthesis after 3 months of GH treatment in both fasting and postprandial settings. IGF-I likewise promotes protein synthesis in both GHD adults and healthy adults after acute administration (84, 96).

On the other hand, GH has been shown in numerous studies also to increase aerobic capacity in GHD adults (290, 292, 413). GH treatment improves vascular reactivity and the adverse lipid profile commonly occurring in GHD patients (414, 415). A decrease of TC and LDL-C seems to be a uniform finding (reviewed in Ref. 22), whereas HDL-C has been found to increase in some studies (416) but to remain unchanged in other studies (308). These beneficial effects on lipid profiles are maintained with prolonged 5–10 yr of GH treatment (79, 405). The long-term effect of GH administration on insulin sensitivity in GHD adults is controversial, with some reporting an improvement in insulin sensitivity (86, 417, 418) and others not (419, 420). Recent long-term studies of up to 7 yr in duration have, however, consistently demonstrated that GH replacement therapy improves insulin sensitivity and cardiovascular risk factors and may prevent the age-related decline in these risk factors in GHD adults (216, 328, 405, 407, 421). QoL is impaired in many GHD adults and normalizes after GH replacement (422). Several studies have shown persistent treatment benefits being evident years after commencing treatment and that interruption of GH replacement treatment adversely affects the QoL of GHD patients (405, 423–425). For a detailed review of GH replacement therapy in the GHD syndrome, see Refs. 22 and 273.

VII. Evidence of an Additive Anabolic Action of GH and Testosterone

The first evidence for a possible additive anabolic action between the GH/IGF-I axis and T might have been deduced from the observation that at puberty in boys (rather than girls) there is a higher state of anabolism with higher linear growth rates, higher muscle, and bone accretion. Consequently, it may not come as a surprise that androgens in conjunction with GH confer higher anabolic effects than estrogens with GH. It might also be argued that the higher anabolic effect and sexual development seen in boys during puberty is due solely to the androgen spurt. This argument can easily be dismissed because in cases of isolated GHD where the anabolic effect of T is disrupted despite normal T secretion, there is no pubertal growth spurt. Thus, it is the combination of these two hormones that confers the higher anabolic action observed physiologically in men.

A. Puberty and GH and testosterone interaction

Puberty offers plenty of evidence for the close interrelation of these two hormone systems because daily GH secretion more than doubles, mainly as a result of higher GH pulse amplitude, whereas pulse frequency, pulse duration, and GH half-life remain unaffected (426). The highest rates of GH secretion coincide with the highest rates of linear growth during puberty, and relative disorderliness or irregularity of GH secretion (approximate entropy) peaks during this time (427). Finally, in an elegant experiment, Giustina et al. (428) demonstrated how T can modulate and mature the hypothalamic pituitary GH axis because the administration of incremental T doses in hypogonadal prepubertal boys increased daily GH secretion. The action of T in amplifying GH secretion in prepubertal boys and hypogonadal men has been postulated to occur indirectly through conversion of T to estrogens because nonaromatizable androgens do not amplify GH secretion. These findings have been recently confirmed in older men after exposure to high pharmacological doses of exogenous T (119). On the other hand, physiological transdermal T administration did not increase daily GH production in the older people (121, 429). Thus, T amplifies GH secretion in hypogonadal, prepubertal, and older men but not in eugonadal men (430).

Additionally, evidence for an additive action between GH and T might also be inferred from the gender differences in secretory dynamics of GH. Women secrete more daily GH than men and have higher GH peak pulses and larger pulse amplitude (431). Estrogens seem to be responsible for this striking amplification of GH production in women, which doubles in the preovulatory phase in accordance with the elevated estrogen levels in midcycle. IGF-I levels, however, are similar between men and women, and one explanation could be relative resistance to GH action in women at least at the level of the liver (432). Accordingly, the decreased response to GH treatment in GHD women compared with men has been clearly presented in a series of studies (433, 434). Thus, estrogens seem to confer a form of resistance in GH action, whereas androgens seem to facilitate GH/IGF-I action.
B. Muscle growth and GH and testosterone interaction

GH, IGF-I, and T are all strong anabolic agents that promote muscle protein synthesis and hypertrophy (38, 73, 435). Testosterone administration has been shown to increase muscle, prostate, liver, and ovary IGF-I gene expression in animal and human studies (436–438). Urban et al. (436) has shown that im T administration for 1 month in healthy older men increased muscle mRNA IGF-I levels and decreased mRNA levels of IGFBP-4; the latter was reported to be a negative regulator of IGF-I in muscle (439). On the other hand, artificially induced hypogonadism in young men has produced the opposite effects—a decrease of muscle IGF-I gene expression levels and an increase IGFBP-4 mRNA (440).

Androgens have been shown to up-regulate their own receptor (AR) in muscle cells and satellite muscle cells (441), the latter being muscle multipotent stem cells whose activation is imperative for muscle regeneration and adaptation to exercise (37). Sarcopenia may be linked to impaired satellite cell activation, which in turn is regulated by the autocrine/paracrine action of IGF-I and T (442). Intramuscular T has increased muscle AR mRNA levels in healthy older men after 1 month of treatment, but not after 6 months, whereas IGF-I mRNA levels increased at 1 month and remained increased at 6 months (443). Brill et al. (429) did not find an increase of muscle AR mRNA, however, in older men using transdermal T after 1 month. From the above, it seems that T up-regulates its own AR gene expression in muscle and also increases muscle mRNA IGF-I levels. A recent observation of two androgen response elements within the IGF-I upstream promoter gene that act to increase IGF-I expression may well explain these findings and suggest that T acts by amplifying the anabolic actions of GH (444). Testosterone, on the other hand, has been shown to promote muscle growth by a novel early transcriptional program including IGF-I, the putative MGF, and induction of β-catenin (445), which plays an important generic role in the activation of several signal transduction pathways.

GH, on the other hand, may facilitate its benefactor (T in this case) by up-regulating the AR in muscle as shown in a study in artificially induced hypogonadal adults (82).

Additionally, GH administration increases muscle IGF-I gene expression levels, as has been show in GHD patients and healthy older men (82, 290, 429, 446). This effect has been demonstrated after the acute iv administration of GH for a short period of time (447).

Finally, the activation of satellite muscle cells is of foremost importance for muscle adaptation to loading and muscle regeneration (37). Activation of muscle satellite cells leads to proliferation and differentiation and fusion of myoblasts providing the new myonuclei needed (448).

IGF-I functioning in an autocrine/paracrine mode is an important mediator of skeletal muscle adaptation (37). In an elegant study, Hameed et al. (446) evaluated the expression of two isoforms of IGF-I in healthy older men at baseline and after GH administration with or without exercise. The first isoform, the putative MGF, is expressed specifically in muscle after muscle loading, whereas IGF-IEa is similar to liver IGF-I. Combined exercise and GH increased MGF and IGF-IEa more than either agent alone, whereas GH alone increased preferentially IGF-IEa and exercise MGF. It appears that exercise and GH/IGF-I axis integrity are paramount for muscle physiological adaptation, which translates into satellite cell activation.

Accordingly, T increases cross-sectional area (CSA) of muscle mass by inducing fiber muscle hypertrophy in both young and older men (38). It was suggested that the hypertrophic response to T administration in muscle is indeed through satellite cell activation (38, 441). Thus, both GH and T have a common target of action, and it seems that one up-regulates the action of the other.

C. Lipolysis and GH and testosterone interaction

VF has been shown to be very sensitive to catecholamine action stimulating lipolysis (449), and its high concentration of GH and AR may play a role in this. Both GH and T facilitate the mobilization of lipids by inhibiting LPL activity mainly in VF and enhancing catecholamine induced lipolysis via β-adrenergic receptors (β1-β2 and β3 stimulatory adrenoreceptor), which are functionally active principally in omental fat (450). It has been shown that in adipocytes from hypophysectomized rats, GH and T have an additive effect on lipolysis because both appear to increase β-adrenergic receptor density, whereas the presence of GH was required for fully expressed lipolytic action of T (451). Testosterone on the other hand, by modulating IGF-I receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ2 expression in preadipocytes, elicits an antiadipogenic effect (452).

VIII. Potential Implications of the Anabolic Hormones and VO2max Decline in Older Men

The decline of physical activity, a universal finding of aging that occurs in humans and other species (453, 454), may contribute to the decline of physical function of aging because both muscle strength and aerobic exercise capacity are strongly associated with levels of physical activity. Consequently, exercise as a possible physiological intervention in counteracting sarcopenia of aging has been extensively studied.
A. Exercise and integrity of anabolic hormonal milieu is required for muscle adaptation

1. Impaired muscle adaptation to exercise in older people

Muscle adaptation to exercise is a multistep process that is modulated by both endocrine anabolic and locally expressed load-sensitive autocrine/paracrine growth factors (reviewed in Ref. 37). In this process, two steps can be clearly distinguished: first, an increase in protein synthesis that results in the expansion of muscle myonuclear domain; and second, the activation of muscle satellite cells. Locally expressed IGF-I appears to play a fundamental role in both of these processes and has been demonstrated to increase protein accretion through the Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin pathway, promoting the formation mainly of fiber type I (fast, high oxidative capacity) myofibers (36). Of considerable significance is that only 14 d of GH infusion has been shown to increase muscle mitochondrial oxidative capacity in healthy young adults (455).

IGF-I on the other hand has a strong mitogenic and myogenic action by promoting satellite cell proliferation (456), differentiation, and eventually fusion of satellite cells that form the new myonuclei needed to support myonuclear domain expansion (37). Furthermore, MGF, a putative splice variant of the IGF-I gene (also known as IGF-IEb), is responsible for the initial hypertrophic response of muscle to training by activating muscle satellite cells (457). This is distinct from the IGF-IEa, the second splice variant also up-regulated in response to exercise. This is the same as the IGF-IEa produced by the liver. These isoforms have been reported to have different roles because they are regulated differently and have different levels of expression and timing after uploading of exercising (457). It was suggested that the putative MGF exerts its action independently of the IGF-I receptor and is required for muscle satellite cell activation, whereas IGF-IEa is required for maintenance of muscle mass hypertrophy (446). Aging is associated with impaired muscle cell activation, which has been proposed to contribute to the sarcopenia of aging (442). Indeed, several studies have shown that mRNA levels of MGF, but not of IGF-IEa, are significantly up-regulated as early as 2 h after an exercise bout in young men, but not in older men (35, 446, 458). Furthermore, Petrella et al. (35) have recently reported that 16 wk of resistance exercise significantly increased the number of new myonuclei formed in young people, but not in older people, and although expression levels of both splice variants increased significantly in young and older people, the increments in the young were at least two times higher.

Another study (the only one to date) to assess the effect of GH administration with or without exercise in older men has demonstrated that exercise significantly up-regulates mRNA levels of MGF, and GH up-regulates mRNA levels of IGF-IEa, whereas GH combined with exercise further enhanced MGF transcript levels (446). Moreover, a recent study has shown that both MGF and the generalized IGF-I isoform mRNA levels increase after a single bout of exercise of intensities as low as 60% of 1RM in young people (458). Finally, it has been clearly demonstrated that exercise increases the generalized IGF-I isoform and up-regulates the muscle satellite AR (459, 460) in most but not all of the studies (461).

2. The endocrine role of GH and testosterone on muscle growth

As presented previously, IGF-I is a powerful regulator of muscle growth and cell differentiation, acting locally through an autocrine/paracrine as well as in a classical endocrine fashion (reviewed in Ref. 37). In this regard, locally infused IGF-I has been shown markedly to increase skeletal mass (462). GH on the other hand is the main regulator of the expression of IGF-I in different tissues (63, 64). GH receptor signaling occurs through activation of the Janus-activated kinases (JAK) and signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT), whereas down-regulation is mediated by a family of cytokine-inducible suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) (reviewed in Ref. 56). GH receptors have been identified in muscle (61), and a recent study has demonstrated that a bolus of GH in young men activates the STAT5b and increases the mRNA levels of IGF-I and SOCS-3 in muscle (463), whereas a STAT5b-specific binding site has been characterized in the IGF-I promoter region that mediated IGF-I gene activation (464). In this regard, administration of GH in hypophysectomized rats has been shown to increase IGF-I levels (465), whereas C2C12 skeletal muscle cells respond rapidly to GH by stimulating tyrosine phosphorylation of the GH receptor and STAT5b and increasing levels of mRNA IGF-I (63). Furthermore, GH administration has been shown to increase muscle IGF-I gene expression in GHD patients (290), in men artificially rendered hypogonadal (82), and in healthy older men (429, 446, 447) in most but not all studies (461). Differences in GH dosage and timing of sampling may explain the discrepancies.

Newer evidence from studies conducted in mice expanded our knowledge of how GH and IGF-I may regulate muscle growth. Hence, Iida et al. (466) have reported that administration of GH to mice acutely increased mRNA levels of both MGF and IGF-IEa, with the former found to increase preferentially in the situation of GHD (lit/lit) mice, whereas in GH-sufficient mice similar increments were found. In another study in mice specifically lacking the skeletal muscle IGF-I receptor, GH failed to induce muscle growth, and consequently it has been postulated that intact IGF-I receptor signaling is required for the ac-
tion of GH in muscle (467). Another study reported that mice with a skeletal muscle-specific deletion of the Stat5 genes had IGF-I mRNA levels reduced by 60% in muscle tissue and impaired growth (468). This denotes a critical role of GH for the formation of locally produced IGF-I in muscle, which in turn acts through a paracrine/autocrine fashion. Finally, Sotiropoulos et al. (469) have challenged this by demonstrating that GH has a specific role in myoblast fusion independent of that of IGF-I.

Testosterone on the other hand has been shown to promote muscle growth by mainly enhancing im mRNA IGF-I levels (445); however, the role of circulating GH and IGF-I in mediating the effects of T on skeletal muscle has recently been questioned by Serra et al. (470), who reported that IGF-IR signaling in skeletal muscle fibers does not appear to be obligatory for mediating the anabolic effects of T in mice.

The additive effects of GH and T on muscle growth were discussed in Section VII.

From all the data mentioned above, it becomes clear that exercise and the integrity of the anabolic hormonal milieu are of paramount importance for muscle adaptation and that this can be achieved even with rather low training intensities in the young, but not in older people.

B. Impaired hormone anabolic profile and strenuous exercise in older men

1. Strenuous exercise-induced cytokines and their interaction with the GH/IGF-I axis

Exercising muscle has been shown to secrete several cytokines, including IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10, with IL-6 being more extensively investigated (reviewed in Ref. 471). Plasma levels of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 increase strikingly after exercise, with the magnitude of the increase related to the intensity and duration of exercise (471). Although both macrophage cells and adipocytes may secrete IL-6, it has been demonstrated that exercising muscle is mainly responsible for the elevated levels of IL-6, and this is not related to muscle injury (472). Increased levels of IL-6, however, have been suggested to have a detrimental effect on muscle growth (473), and in general higher levels of IL-6 were found in frail older men (474) and were negatively associated with reported levels of physical activity, fitness, and IGF-I (475, 476). In addition, higher levels of IL-6 were found in several inflammatory conditions associated with insulin resistance (477, 478). In this regard, it was reported that IL-6 levels rather than TNF or leptin were strongly associated with obesity and insulin resistance (477).

Exercise is the most powerful physiological stimulus of GH secretion. The GH/IGF-I axis response to exercise has been only recently reviewed (479). Age, exercise duration, and intensity have all been reported to be strong predictors of GH response. GH levels peak immediately after exercise, and this has been demonstrated to occur even at exercising intensities well below the lactate threshold (480, 481). Trained or untrained older men have an impaired GH response to exercise (482, 483). IGF-I levels on the other hand have also been found to increase after exercise, but only by a much lesser extent than GH (484). In studies where very vigorous training programs were implemented in prepubertal girls, however, IGF-I levels were found to be suppressed (485–487). There is evidence that suggests an interaction of the GH/IGF-I axis and IL-6, and it was postulated that higher IL-6 levels observed after strenuous exercise may suppress plasma IGF-I levels (487, 488). This hypothesis was explored in a study using IL-6 infusion in healthy older men in doses sufficient to increase IL-6 levels to those observed after intense exercise; this resulted in an increase in GH and IGFBP-1 and a decrease in IGF-I levels (46). There are several pieces of evidence that suggest a convergence of GH/IGF-I and IL-6 signaling, with a common area of interaction in the JAK/STAT pathway. This pathway also participates in cytokine signaling where alteration in the gene expression of members of the SOCS family has an important role (489–491). The latter, as we have mentioned before, is an inhibitor of STAT activity. STAT3 has been recently identified as a mediator of IL-6 signaling (492). In this regard, local IL-6 infusion in rodents resulted in a preferential decrease in myofibrillar proteins and induced a decrease in phosphorylation of STAT5 and an increase by 2-fold of that of STAT3 (493). Supporting these findings, a recent study has shown that a single bout of vigorous exercise significantly activated STAT3 in muscle of young men 2 h after exercise, whereas the expression of SOCS-3 increased 60-fold (494). This is important because it suggests that strenuous exercise in older men may indeed diminish the already impaired endocrine and paracrine/autocrine action of both GH and IGF-I in muscle.

2. Impaired GH response to exercise may be causally linked to diminished training-induced anabolism and insulin resistance of aging: a hypothesis

Interestingly, IL-6 has also been shown to have an antiinflammatory effect by suppressing TNFα levels and to participate in metabolic control pathways during exercise (471). Subsequently, IL-6 has been reported to increase lipolysis and promote FFA oxidation, and recently it has been demonstrated that IL-6 can act as a novel factor that increases endogenous glucose production during exercise (495). It appears that IL-6 might be acting as a hormone, being released by the muscle to signal to the liver to stim-
ulate glucose production when required (495, 496). Glucose on the other hand remains an important energy supply in the early stages of exercise. Glucose availability is dependent on stimulation of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis, which will be greater when insulin levels are suppressed. Plasma insulin levels are indeed suppressed during and immediately after exercise (497), thus facilitating glucose production. The majority of evidence supports the notion that IL-6 promotes hepatic insulin resistance by activating SOCS proteins in the liver (498, 499). Furthermore, GH, which is known to induce insulin resistance and its robust increase during exercise, may also play a role in mobilizing glucose (500).

Muscle glucose uptake during and immediately after exercise increases by an insulin-independent mechanism (497). It has been suggested that IL-6 may facilitate glucose uptake by muscle during and immediately after exercise; however, this has been disputed (501, 502). Nevertheless, if this were true, then IL-6 would need to induce insulin resistance at the liver in order to facilitate glucose production while at the same time having insulin-like effects on muscle. The fact that strenuous exercise increase SOCS-3 protein expression by 60-fold in muscle makes this explanation less attractive (494).

IGF-I on the other hand, as its name suggests, has been shown to have insulin-like effects (88). Could the decreased IGF mRNA levels observed in older people after exercise impede glucose uptake in their exercising muscle? This is an intriguing hypothesis that could explain the dissociated effects of GH and IGF-I and furthermore the link between the insulin resistance of aging and the concomitant decline in the GH/IGF-I axis.

Decreased muscle glucose uptake during exercise may also contribute to insulin resistance in aging. It may contribute to the decreased suppression of protein degradation during exercise and, consequently, impaired protein accretion described in older people (503). Additionally and of similar importance, decreased glucose uptake in exercising muscle may augment the muscle cytokine secretion because muscle glycogen depletion has been shown to be the main stimulus for cytokine secretion to take place.

C. Possible implications of GH and testosterone decline in older men

The observations that IGF-I overexpression in a transgenic model did not prevent muscle atrophy due to acute muscle unloading and that T could not prevent the muscle strength decline during 28 d of bed rest confirms that uploading the muscle mass against gravity is fundamental for preserving its function (504, 505). On the other hand, although it may be argued that circulating anabolic hormones are not necessary for muscle adaptation to exercise, as has been observed in the experimental model using hypophysectomized rats (506), the overwhelming clinical experience has shown that exercise could not replace the administration of GH or T in improving physical function in young GHD or hypogonadal men simply because these patients are effectively unable to exercise to the level required.

The evidence presented in Sections VIII.A and VIII.B may explain the two major drawbacks regarding exercise as an interventional approach for improving physical function in older men. First, improvements in muscle strength and muscle power that have been shown to occur in several studies quickly level off, possibly as a result of impaired muscle hypertrophy because of a diminished anabolic hormone milieu in older people (507, 508). Second, dropouts from training programs are reported to be very high, independent of the design of the exercise intervention (home-based, group-based, educational). The longer the duration of training, the higher the dropout rate recorded. Hence, in a large meta-analysis that included 38 studies of exercise intervention programs in older men, van der Bij et al. (509) concluded that the improvements in physical activity, although present, were small and short lived. Participation seems to decline inevitably and was found to have fallen to less than 30% in the few studies lasting 1 yr. It is possible that the dropout rate is always higher in older people (510). It appears that strenuous exercise may further increase the elevated cytokine levels in the older people that, by inhibiting the already impaired GH/IGF-I axis activity, could further compromise muscle adaptation to training. Thus, the energy-demanding process of protein accretion becomes inefficient. Because there is a close association of feeding and volitional and not volitional exercise (511), it is reasonable to hypothesize that when an organism senses that exercise cannot confer any benefits regarding protein accretion, it slows down to conserve energy.

The essential role of exercise in muscle function presented before could be one explanation of the negative findings regarding improvements in muscle function, despite an increase in muscle CSA in healthy older men after combined treatment with GH and T (48), because newly added muscle requires some time before acquiring strength. This is in keeping with the observation that strength improves in GHD adults usually only after 1 yr of GH replacement, although muscle enlargement occurs within 6 months (410, 411). It may also explain why GH combined with exercise failed to augment the muscle strength recorded with exercise alone in short-term studies, although higher increments in muscle mass were found (512, 513).
It seems that the initial muscle hypertrophy obtained as early as 6 months has to be “uploaded” regularly, as occurs with everyday physical activities, before it gains in power. It appears that this process of activating newly formed muscle needs some time (months to years) even when regular training is undertaken.

1. The importance of the effects of GH combined with testosterone in improving VO\textsubscript{2}max in healthy older men

A key question arises from the decline in anabolic hormones seen in older men. Are these older men able to respond to hormone replacement as do patients with GHD? Although coadministration of GH and T has been shown to increase muscle mass, can this form of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) preserve or even increase muscle strength and prevent or mitigate the relentless decline in strength that occurs with aging? If so, older people would be able to upload their muscle more often and more intensely and maybe preserve their mobility?

Thus, the improvement in maximal aerobic capacity in older healthy men seen after treatment with GH plus T but not with T or GH alone, as initially reported by Blackman \textit{et al.} (49) and recently confirmed by Giannoulis \textit{et al.} (48), is of great importance because it supports the above concept. Differences in study design and baseline VO\textsubscript{2}max levels may well explain the variable response of maximal aerobic capacity observed in the above studies (9 and 20\%, respectively).

It can be argued that the increase of VO\textsubscript{2}max seen by Giannoulis \textit{et al.} (48) is mainly due to the increase in skeletal muscle mass. It was only after treatment with GH+T that a significant increase in muscle CSA was recorded; and that, together with the gains in appendicular muscle mass, correlated with changes in VO\textsubscript{2}max seen during the 6-month period of HRT. GH administration alone does not seem to increase VO\textsubscript{2}max in older men or women (94, 514). On the other hand, T in general also failed to improve VO\textsubscript{2}max in healthy older men, even when it was administered in doses high enough to increase skeletal muscle protein synthesis, muscle mass, and strength (436). In addition, other evidence from the literature suggests that T alone does not affect aerobic performance (515), although this is somewhat at odds with the widespread abuse of anabolic steroids in sport (where GH is often/usually used in combination), and it may just be that treatment duration has not been long enough or insufficient doses were used. On the other hand, it was elite athletes who first discovered that GH is a performance-enhancing drug, and GH is most commonly abused together with anabolic steroids (282) because athletes probably find that the combination works. Thus, it appears that the coadministration of GH and T may result in increments of skeletal muscle mass with higher oxidative capacity than seen with T alone. Although it cannot be stated categorically that this is the case, it may be due to the greater anabolic action obtained by combining two anabolic agents in the limited time span of the study. It may be significant in this regard, however, that GH administration alone has been demonstrated to increase skeletal muscle oxidative capacity in GHD men (455).

It has been shown that VO\textsubscript{2}max is a strong determinant of physical performance and independent living in older men (8, 516) and that the decline of VO\textsubscript{2}max below a threshold of 18–20 ml/kg · min will compromise physical functional capacity and independent living (517, 518). A decline of VO\textsubscript{2}max below 18 ml/kg · min would mean an anaerobic ventilatory threshold of approximately 10 ml/kg · min and the onset of fatigue and an inability to perform a task with levels higher than 15 ml/kg · min. Considering that an older man would need approximately 10–12 ml/kg · min to walk a short distance at a slow pace carrying groceries, it is clear that any decline of VO\textsubscript{2}max below the threshold of 18 ml/kg · will compromise independent living (8, 517). Given the hyperbolic relationship of exercise intensity and time to fatigue (519), it could be argued that small increases in anaerobic threshold could translate into big improvements in the intensity of exercise performed below the anaerobic threshold, before fatigue ensues. Although Giannoulis \textit{et al.} (48) did not measure the anaerobic threshold, we would expect it to increase in a commensurate fashion as the VO\textsubscript{2}max. This has been shown first by Cuneo \textit{et al.} (292) and later confirmed by Woodhouse \textit{et al.} (290); both reported an improvement in submaximal exercise capacity in GHD adults after GH treatment.

Thus, an improvement of VO\textsubscript{2}max by 10 to 20\% after GH+T (48, 49), which almost offsets the decline per decade of life in those older than 70 yr as demonstrated in the longitudinal (Baltimore) study by Fleg \textit{et al.} (10), is of great clinical significance for two main reasons. First, by directly increasing their physical functional performance, older men would be able to perform tasks that would previously have required higher intensities of effort or longer duration because these levels of effort are substantially higher than those required for independent living. Second, and of similar importance, the increase of VO\textsubscript{2}max may in turn increase the daily physical activity (volitional or nonvolitional) in older men. VO\textsubscript{2}max, defined as the capacity of exercising muscle to extract and use oxygen, is modulated by the training status of individuals and also by their genetic background (520). Similarly, free living daily physical activity has also been shown to be genotype dependent and reflects the daily energy expenditure of volitional and nonvolitional exercise (521). Volitional and mainly non-
volitional exercise (fidgeting) are important determinants of total energy expenditure (~30%). Total energy expenditure declines with aging largely due to the fall in LBM. The decline of activity energy expenditure seems to be the main reason because it appears that humans slow down as they grow older (454). A positive association was reported between VO₂max and free-living nonexercise time physical activity in most but not all studies (522, 523). In a recent study of older men, however, a positive correlation was found between nonexercise physical activity and VO₂max (524). Subsequently, it is reasonable to suggest that the increased VO₂max observed after GH + T would not only increase exercise capacity but also will ease the fatigue in different tasks and may increase the free-living daily physical activity and probably QoL. This is in reality often quoted by patients with GHD as the greatest benefit of replacement with GH.

In conclusion, physical activity of both higher intensity (volitional) and lower intensity (spontaneous free living) is a prerequisite for muscle adaptation. It can be speculated that these activities will be facilitated by providing older men with a restored anabolic hormone milieu.

IX. Anabolic Intervention in Aging

A. Issues regarding the role of hormone replacement (GH and testosterone) in older men in the light of recent research

1. Symptoms and signs attributed to anabolic hormone level decline in older men

Before presenting the results of various recent studies that have assessed the effects of GH or T in older men, it is helpful to consider some of the issues that surround the possible therapeutic role of HRT in aging men.

At present, neither T nor GH has an established role in either prevention or treatment of the adverse changes in body composition and decline in physical function performance observed in older men. This is reflected in recent guidelines published by The Endocrine Society first for GH (525) and subsequently for T (526). Both guidelines failed to reach a consensus on which older men, if any, would benefit from HRT. In both instances, two main objections were raised. First, GH or T administration in older men failed to demonstrate consistent improvement in muscle function or other measurements of physical performance. Second, a clear casual relationship between declining GH and T levels and signs and symptoms attributed to these low levels has yet to be established. Both of these arguments and the potential danger of serious side effects are the basis of the controversy surrounding HRT in older men (527–530).

Administration of T has been shown to improve physical performance in some (443, 531) but not in all of the studies (21, 48, 49, 532); usually, high rather supraphysiological doses were used, which precluded the clinical utility of these studies. On the other hand, GH treatment is associated with favorable changes in body composition but not usually in physical function (20, 48). Furthermore, early studies, when doses used were in retrospect very high, reported a high incidence of adverse effects (94). Two studies in which GH was given in extremely high doses to healthy older women reported predictably serious adverse effects and a dropout rate of almost 50% in one (533, 534). In a series of studies, Bhasin et al. (17, 535, 536) have demonstrated that the anabolic effects of T are dose-dependent and that older men are as responsive to graded doses of T as young men. In a similar fashion, the magnitude of anabolic effects of GH in older men appears to be dose-dependent, and if anything, the older men are more sensitive to GH than the young. It is of paramount importance for safety reasons alone that effectiveness is shown to occur under a replacement regime that produces what may be considered physiological hormone levels in order for it to be of potential clinical use.

Although normative values for T may differ between laboratories (537), there is a consensus that levels around 300 ng/dl (10.4 nmol/liter) and 6.5 ng/dl (0.225 nmol/liter) for total T and FT, respectively, corresponding to BioT concentrations of around 140 ng/dl (5 nmol/liter), represent the lower limits of the normal range of young healthy men (538). Using the above normative values, Kaufman and Vermeulen (539) reported that 20% of older men (and a slightly higher proportion when FT levels were considered) had abnormally low T levels. Using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, Wang et al. (540) have reported a higher metabolic clearance rate and daily production rate of T (8.45 ± 1.14 vs. 5.12 ± 0.36 mg/dl) for young men when compared with middle-aged men in accordance with earlier studies (541, 542).

We discussed in Section III.A how the integrated daily GH secretion rate had fallen by 70% in 70-yr-old men when compared with 20-yr olds (14) and that 30% of men older than 70 yr had IGF-I levels lower than the lower limit of the young reference range (104, 105).

Despite these unequivocal findings of reduced GH and T secretion in a significant proportion of older men, no clear association between signs and symptoms specifically associated with GHD or T deficiency has yet been found. In fact, case detection questionnaires relying on self-reporting and specifically developed to identify older men with low T levels, such as the Aging Males’ Symptoms Scale (543), the Androgen Deficiency in Aging Male (544), and the Massachusetts Male Aging Study Screener (545),
have all produced equivocal results (544, 546, 547) and they were found to lack specificity (526). It has been argued that whereas primary and secondary hypogonadism are well-defined clinical entities, this could not apply to older men with low T levels where there are often confounding factors including health, lifestyle, and other comorbidities commonly seen in older men (528). Likewise, population-based surveys of community-dwelling older and middle-aged men using questionnaires directed at sexual and other less specific symptoms, such as tiredness, lethargy, sleep disturbances, and depressed mood, could not find a clear association between symptomatic androgen deficiency and T levels (153, 175, 402, 548–551).

Accordingly, Travison et al. (548) in a cohort of men aged 40 to 70 yr found only a weak association between T levels and libido, with a substantial overlap of T levels between those reporting a low or normal libido. In another study in men aged 30 to 70 yr, Araujo et al. (549) found the prevalence of symptomatic androgen deficiency to be 5.6% and to increase with age, and almost half of the men older than 50 with low T levels were asymptomatic. Furthermore, Hall et al. (551) reported that waist circumference and health status appear to be significant confounders of this association. Zitzmann et al. (402), in a cohort study of 434 male patients aged 50–86 yr attending an andrological clinic, could not find a threshold for symptomatic hypogonadism. They found a clustering of symptoms, and different symptoms were associated with different T levels. They reported an increased prevalence of loss of libido and vigor in those with T levels lower than 15 nmol/liter, whereas erectile dysfunction was more prevalent when T levels were lower than 8 nmol/liter. In contrast to these reports, a recent study of men aged 40–79 yr found that the presence of at least three sexual symptoms (poor morning erection, low sexual desire, and erectile dysfunction) was associated with T levels lower than 11 nmol/liter (320 ng/dl) and 6.4 ng/dl of FT (550).

All of these surveys have included middle-aged men, and this was anticipated to strengthen the association of symptoms of hypogonadism with T levels because in middle-aged men, symptoms are more likely to be solely attributed to hypogonadism, which may not be the case in older men. It appears therefore from data obtained from selected cohorts that there is no clear association between symptoms of androgen deficiency and T levels in older men.

In accordance with these findings, similar results were seen between T levels and the frailty phenotype in similar population-based surveys (175, 176, 552). On one hand, results reported from the Massachusetts Male Aging Study could not detect any association between the frailty phenotype (a model comprising a number of components) and T or FT levels, although there was an association between T and some of the components of the model describing the phenotype (grip strength and activity). An association has been found, however, between SHBG levels and frailty (plus the components weight loss, exhaustion, and physical activity) (175). The introduction in the multivariate regression analysis of a measure of androgen sensitivity (the number of CAG repeats in the AR) in a subsequent analysis did not modify these findings (552). On the other hand, Krasnoff et al. (176) in the Framingham Offspring Study reported FT (but not total T) levels to be associated with mobility limitation and physical performance in men with a mean age of 61 yr. In none of these studies was IGF-I measured or the GH/IGF-I axis investigated. We are not aware of similar studies regarding the association of IGF-I levels or the GH/IGF-I axis and the frailty phenotype or symptoms related to GHD in healthy older men.

2. Selecting the older men who may benefit from HRT in view of the new evidence

If both GH and T improve muscle function and physical performance in older men, what accounts for this lack of association between the hormone levels and frailty? This is an important question as expressed by Snyder (553) because it poses a practical dilemma: who are these older men who are most likely going to benefit from HRT?

The evidence presented in Section VII regarding the additive action of GH and T highlights the importance of both the GH/IGF-I axis and T secretion in the ability to undertake normal physical activity and may provide some clues to answering this question. This should be considered together with the fact that coadministration of GH and T in older men improves VO2max and produces highly significant changes in skeletal muscle mass (390, 394). These positive benefits were achieved with what may be considered physiological doses of hormone replacement, they provide some answers to the question presented before, and they open new avenues for exploring the role of HRT in older men.

First, the adverse changes in physical function observed with aging are caused by a progressive decline in all physiological functions, with impairment of GH and T secretion and reduced physical activity being important components. Thus, the symptoms seen in older men, although resembling those of young hypogonadal men, could not be directly linked to low T levels. With the exception of the sexual symptoms, the remaining features are nonspecific and may well be related to the decline in GH secretion and aerobic capacity. In fact, many of the symptoms resemble those that occur in the GHD syndrome in adults (273, 316) and that are relieved by hormone replacement with GH.

This hypothesis has been verified in two recent studies that analyzed data on women aged 70–79 yr from the
Women’s Health and Aging Studies and reported that the likelihood of frailty increases nonlinearly in relation to the number of physiological abnormal systems, the latter being more predictive of frailty than any individual abnormal system (554). In addition, it was found that the absolute burden of anabolic hormonal deficiencies rather than the type of hormonal deficiency was a strong predictor of frailty (555). The authors concluded, “These analyses suggest generalized endocrine dysfunction in the frailty syndrome.”

Second, baseline T levels are known to be determined by several factors such as overall health status, smoking habit, diet, lifestyle changes, and most importantly an increase in abdominal fat (556–561). A high within-subject variability of baseline T levels has been reported in several studies (562, 563), which further complicates any potential selection process based on a single T measurement.

An important confounder is also the increased SHBG level observed with aging (131), which results in a high total T but lower BioT. Conversely, a low SHBG level and thus total T in overweight elderly men may be associated with normal FT levels. It is of considerable importance that a lack of association between baseline T and IGF-I levels was encountered during screening healthy elderly men by Giannoulis et al. (48), in contrast to the direct relationship described in young men (564). This is in accordance with population-based studies that have included older men and reported even an inverse correlation between IGF-I and T levels in older men (453, 478). In this respect, there was also an inverse correlation between SHBG and IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels in the study of Giannoulis et al. (48), both potentially useful predictors of GH secretion. This is significant because it underlies the fact that older subjects with apparently normal T levels due to their increased SHBG levels may still be GHD.

Finally, because the metabolic clearance rate of T declines in older people (565), total T is only a crude index of daily T production. It cannot always reflect the active role of the hormone at the cellular level because in contrast to T, SHBG levels increase with age and result in lower BioT levels despite total T values in the normal range. In a similar fashion, baseline IGF-I levels do not directly reflect GH action in peripheral tissues as suggested by the revised somatomedin hypothesis (56). In this regard, IGF-I levels were reported to be an important predictor of 24-h integrated GH secretion in healthy young adults, but not in older men (566).

All the confounders discussed above not only explain the lack of association between baseline T or IGF-I levels and symptoms ascribed to hypogonadism or GHD in older people but also underline the limitation of selecting subjects who could benefit from HRT from a blood test alone. Two important questions were put forward by Snyder (530): Is the decrease in T and GH secretion seen with aging physiological, perhaps conveying benefit or pathological causing harm? Will HRT with GH and T exacerbate the adverse effects to which older people are prone (such as prostate cancer and other malignancy)? These questions can only be answered through long-term (years, not months) clinical trials or possibly (and more realistically) postmarketing surveillance.

B. Clinical trials of testosterone and/or GH administration in older men

1. Introduction

There are numerous reviews and commentaries that present the effects of androgen (192, 567–570) and GH treatment (571–574) in older men. Tables 1–3 summarize the findings of randomized controlled trials (RCT) that have assessed the effects of T, GH, or GH and T combined in older men.

Because the main purpose of this review is to assess the issue of HRT in older men and subsequently its clinical applicability, we will only review RCT where outcome measures (largely surrogate endpoints) of clinical significance relevant to this review were reported. We will also restrict this review to studies where GH or T was administered in well-accepted forms of replacement treatment to older men (aged 60 yr or more) with no major comorbidities. Studies with a high clinical significance but different designs that we believe are of help in the critical evaluation of the literature will also be included. There are several reasons behind this approach.

First, the effects of GH or T administration may be different in middle-aged men when compared with older men. In the former, neither their physiological functions nor their anabolic hormone profile could be assumed to be sufficiently compromised. Thus, the effects of T in middle-aged men occur against a background of almost normal GH secretion and vice versa. Consequently, identifying differences and similarities in the effects of GH or T as single therapeutic agents may provide us with a better understating of their therapeutic potentials and limitation. For example, T or GH administration in middle-aged obese or nonobese men has consistently been shown to reduce intraabdominal VF (93, 562, 575), but not in older men in the few studies so far reported (48, 532, 576). The fact that there is a paucity of studies looking at the use of HRT in older men who are less healthy and those with established frailty is one of the reasons we wrote this review, and we hope to stimulate more interest, debate, and research.

Second, we are assessing effectiveness and potential clinical usefulness, and we have excluded studies of non-
aromatized androgens and other anabolic steroids because there are concerns regarding their safety profile (577, 578). We have also excluded studies that have administered dihydrotestosterone, a nonaromatized androgen (579, 580). Finally, we will not include studies where T or GH has been administered to older men with specific diseases. For a comprehensive review on the effects of androgens under these circumstances see Ref. 133.

### TABLE 1. Studies of testosterone therapy (duration 6 months or less) in healthy older men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (Ref.)</th>
<th>Study protocol, active treatment, duration, no. of subjects</th>
<th>Main subject characteristics</th>
<th>Main findings and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrando, 2002 (443)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T enanthate im, weekly in the first month, then every 2 wk</td>
<td>Healthy older men Age, &gt;60 yr</td>
<td>High supraphysiological doses of T Increase in total and appendicular LBM, decrease in BF, increase in muscle strength Improvement in skeletal muscle protein turnover No changes in PSA levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variable doses (50–400 mg) to maintain nadir T &gt;490 ng/dl Duration, 6 months Act, n = 7; PL, n = 5</td>
<td>T, &lt;480 ng/dl (17 nmol/liter)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline T, 279–458 ng/dl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Münzer, 2001 (576); Blackman, 2002 (49); Christmas, 2002 (583); Huang, 2005 (598); Münzer, 2009 (645)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T enanthate im, 100 mg/2 wk Duration, 6 months Act, n = 15–21; PL, n = 17</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Subcutaneous fat decreased; no changes recorded in any of the outcome measurements reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giannoulis, 2006 (48, 646); and 2008 (597)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T patch, 5 mg/d fixed dose Duration, 6 months Act, n = 23; PL, n = 20 (dropouts, Act, n = 2; PL, n = 4)</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>No changes in body composition, muscle function, lipid profile, or WBPK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katznelson, 2006 (606)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T patch (5 mg/d) ± Ex Duration, 12 wk Act T, n = 17; Act T+Ex, n = 17; PL+Ex, n = 19 (dropouts, Act, n = 3; PL, n = 4)</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men Age, 65–85 yr</td>
<td>Improvements in QoL after combined Ex and T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenover, 1992 (586)</td>
<td>Crossover: T enanthate, 100 mg/wk im Duration, 3 months n = 13</td>
<td>Community-dwelling, healthy older men Age, 57–76 yr</td>
<td>Increase in LBM and decrease in markers of bone resorption Increase in PSA and hematocrit levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmelot-Vonk, 2008 (584)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T undecanoate, 80 mg twice daily orally Duration, 6 months Act, n = 120; PL, n = 117 (dropouts, Act, n = 16, PL, n = 14)</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men Age, 60–80 yr</td>
<td>Improvements in body composition, but no changes in muscle strength, physical function, BMD, QoL Adverse effect on the lipid profile No changes in PSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clague, 1999 (637)</td>
<td>Parallel groups: T enanthate, 200 mg/2 wk im Duration, 12 wk Act, n = 70; PL, n = 7</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men Age, &gt;60 yr</td>
<td>Total body mass, hemoglobin, and packed cell volume increased; no effects on strength</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All studies are randomized, placebo-controlled, and double blind. Seven trials were identified where T was administered in accepted forms for replacement treatment in healthy subjects and not in older men (aged >60 yr) who were frail or had other associated comorbidities that have reported on outcome measurements related to physical function. Of the 444 subjects included, 219 received T. Act, Active treatment; PL, placebo; Ex, exercise.
TABLE 2. Studies of testosterone therapy (duration greater than 12 months) in healthy older men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (Ref.)</th>
<th>Study protocol, active treatment, duration, no. of subjects</th>
<th>Main subject characteristics</th>
<th>Main findings and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sih, 1997 (588)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Handgrip strength increased; increased incidence of adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T cypionate Duration, 1 yr Act, n = 17; PL, n = 15 (dropouts, Act, n = 7; PL, n = 3) Age, 68 ± 6 yr BioT, ≤60 ng/dl Baseline T, 294 ± 26 ng/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snyder, 1999 (21, 585); 2001 (647)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 3 yr T scrotal patch (6 mg/d fixed dose) Act, n = 54; PL, n = 54 (dropouts, Act, n = 4; PL, n = 8) Age, ≥65 yr</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>No changes in BMD or markers of bone turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in LBM mainly in the trunk, decrease in BF mainly in arms and legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No changes in muscle strength, physical function, and lipid profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in PSA and hematocrit levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nair, 2006 (532); Basu, 2007 (648); Srinivasan, 2010 (649)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 2 yr T patch, 5 mg/d fixed dose Act, n = 27; PL, n = 31 (dropouts, Act, n = 3; PL, n = 1) Age, ≥60 (mean, 67) yr</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Marginal increase in total LBM and on BMD at femoral neck only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No changes in muscle function, BF, VO₂max, lipid profile, QoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No increase in PSA or hematocrit levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No improvement in carbohydrate metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenny, 2001 (581); 2002 (592)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 1 yr T patch, 5 mg/d Act, n = 34; PL, n = 33 (dropouts, Act, n = 10; PL, n = 13) Age, 65–87 yr</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Increased LBM and decreased fat mass with marginal improvements in BMD but no changes in muscle function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HDL-C levels decreased with no changes in vascular reactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittert, 2003 (591)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 1 yr T undecanoate, 80 mg twice daily orally Act, n = 39; PL, n = 37 (dropouts, Act, n = 4; PL, n = 5) Age, 60–86 yr FT index (T/SHBG), ≥0.3 to ≤0.5 ≥2 symptoms on ADAM questionnaire Baseline T, 490 ± 130 ng/dl</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Improvements in body composition; no changes in muscle strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adversely affected the lipid profile, whereas hematocrit increased; no changes in PSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amory, 2004 (582); Page, 2005 (531)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 3 yr T enanthate im, 200 mg/2wk + FIN orally Act T, n = 24; Act T+FIN, n = 22; PL, n = 24 (dropouts, Act T, n = 7; Act T+FIN, n = 7; PL, n = 6) Age, 65–83 (mean 71) yr T, &lt;350 ng/dl (12.1 nmol/liter)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lumbar spine and hip BMD increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total LBM increased and fat mass decreased in trunk, arms and legs, whereas WHR increased; handgrip strength and physical function performance improved, but not ankle or knee strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSA and hematocrit increased; lipid profile was not adversely affected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All studies are randomized, placebo-controlled, and double blind. Six trials were identified where T was administered in accepted forms for replacement treatment in healthy subjects and not in older men (aged >60 yr) who were frail or had other associated comorbidities that have been reported on outcome measurements related to physical or metabolic function. Of the 413 subjects included, 217 received T. Act, Active treatment; PL, placebo; FIN, finasteride; WHR, waist-hip ratio.

2. Effects on BMD and bone turnover markers

a. Testosterone. Testosterone administration in older men has produced marginal improvements in BMD in some studies (532, 581, 582), but not in other studies (21, 583, 584), with both duration and dose of T treatment appearing to be important determinants. This is in contrast to the clear improvements in BMD seen with T replacement in young hypogonadal men described in Section VI.A of this...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (Ref.)</th>
<th>Study protocol, active treatment, duration, no. of subjects</th>
<th>Main subject characteristics</th>
<th>Main findings and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papadakis, 1996 (94)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>LBM increased and BF decreased; no changes in muscle function, VO₂max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH, 30 μg/kg 3 times/wk; doses adjusted according to IGF-I levels</td>
<td>Age, 70–85 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 6 months</td>
<td>IGF-I, &lt;161 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 26; PL, n = 29 (dropouts, Act, n = 2)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 75.2 ± 4.5 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munzer, 2001 (576); Blackman, 2002 (49); Christmas, 2002 (583); Huang, 2005 (598); Münzer, 2009 (645)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Increased LBM and decreased total and sc fat; no changes in BMD, VO₂max, protein kinetics, or muscle function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH starting dose, 30 reduced to 20 μg/kg, 3 times/wk</td>
<td>Age, 65–88 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 6 months</td>
<td>IGF-I, &lt;230 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 17 to 21; PL, n = 17 (dropouts, Act, n = 1)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 146 ± 10 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giannoulis, 2006 (48, 646); 2008 (597)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>LBM and whole body protein turnover increased No changes in BF, muscle function, VO₂max, lipid profile, VLDL metabolism No changes in insulin levels; no glucose intolerance, diabetes, or other adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH starting dose, 0.1 mg/d; increased gradually to a mean of 0.54 mg/d</td>
<td>Age, 65–85 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target IGF-I, 250 ng/ml</td>
<td>IGF-I, &lt;145 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 6 months</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 102 ± 5.3 ng/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 18; PL, n = 20 (dropouts, Act, n = 2)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 162 ± 22 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lange, 2001 (595)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>LBM increased, BF decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH increased gradually over 3 wk to 12 μg/kg · d</td>
<td>Age, 74 ± 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 12 wk</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 162 ± 11.9 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 8; PL, n = 8 (dropouts, Act, n = 2)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 165 ± 12.6 ng/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudman, 1990 (20)</td>
<td>No placebo control study</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>Increased LBM, decreased BF, marginal improvement in BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH, 30 μg/kg 3 times/wk</td>
<td>Age, 61–81 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 6 months</td>
<td>IGF-I, &lt;189 ng/ml (350 U/liter)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 12; controls, n = 9 (no treatment was given)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 162 ± 12.6 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn, 1993 (593)</td>
<td>No placebo control study</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>High incidence of adverse events observed when IGF-I levels were above the 75%ile for the young age-specific normal range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH, 30 μg/kg 3 times/wk</td>
<td>Age, &gt;60 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 6 months</td>
<td>IGF-I, &lt;189 ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act, n = 50; controls, n = 18 (no treatment was given) (dropouts, Act, n = 27; PL, n = 2)</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 165 ± 12.6 ng/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lange, 2002 (594)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men</td>
<td>Changes in body composition, but muscle strength, power, muscle CSA, fiber size did not change No additional improvement in muscle strength was observed when GH was co-prescribed with Ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH ± Ex</td>
<td>Age, 70–82 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 12 wk</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 145 ± 14 ng/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act GH, n = 8; Act GH + Ex, n = 8; Ex, n = 8; PL, n = 7</td>
<td>Baseline IGF-I, 145 ± 14 ng/d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
TABLE 3. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (Ref.)</th>
<th>Study protocol, active treatment, duration, no. of subjects</th>
<th>Main subject characteristics</th>
<th>Main findings and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taaffe, 1996 (461); 1994 (607)</td>
<td>Parallel groups</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>GH failed to further improve the muscle function, muscle CSA, and fiber size observed after Ex alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14-wk Ex program followed by 10-wk treatment period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH, 20 μg/kg · d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 10 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act GH+Ex, n = 10; Ex+PL, n = 8 (dropouts, Act, n = 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarasheski, 1995 (512); 1997 (589)</td>
<td>GH ± resistance Ex</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>High doses of GH were used, hampered by high incidence of adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GH, 12.5–24 μg/kg · d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration, 16 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Act GH+Ex, n = 12–8; PL+Ex, n = 15 to 11 (dropouts, Act GH+Ex, n = 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| All studies included are randomized, placebo-controlled and double blind unless otherwise stated. Nine trials were identified where GH was administered in healthy subjects and not in older men (aged >60 yr) who were frail or had other associated comorbidities that were reported on outcome measurements related to physical function. From the 309 subjects included, 169 received GH. Act, Active treatment; PL, placebo; Ex, exercise.

review. Kenny et al. (581) have reported that using the T patch (5 mg/d) for 1 yr in healthy older men resulted in 1.9% increment of BMD between the T (n = 24) and placebo (n = 20) treatment groups. Nair et al. (532), using a similar T regimen for 2 yr, found a marginal but significant improvement in BMD only at the femoral neck in T-treated older men (n = 27) when compared with placebo (n = 31).

On the other hand, Snyder et al. (585) could not detect any difference in BMD between the T-treated (n = 54) and placebo-treated (n = 54) old men in a 3-yr study where T was administered by scrotal patch. Interestingly, however, they reported an inverse association between baseline T levels and the T treatment effect on BMD (in keeping with our earlier discussion in Section IV.A). Statistically significant improvements in BMD were noticed only when men with baseline T levels lower than 300 ng/dl were included in the analysis. Large population studies reported in Section IV.A failed to demonstrate a clear association between T levels and BMD. Amory et al. (582) reported that T esters administered in a somewhat supraphysiological dose (200 mg every 2 wk with or without finasteride for 36 months), increased lumbar spine BMD by 10% and hip BMD by 2.7% in the treated group (n = 24) compared with placebo (n = 24). These increments were positively correlated with the magnitude of the increase in both total T and BioT and were unrelated to pretreatment T levels. Christmas et al. (583) could not detect any changes in BMD in healthy older men when T esters were administered in a lower dose (100 mg every 2 wk) in a study of 6-month duration. Emmelot-Vonk et al. (584) could not detect any significant changes in BMD in a study of 6-month duration where healthy older men with low T levels were randomized to receive 80 mg twice daily of oral T undecanoate (n = 120) or placebo (n = 117).

Concerning markers of bone turnover, studies have produced consistent findings because short-term T administration in older men appears to suppress markers of bone resorption and to increase markers of bone formation, whereas long-term T treatment did not result in significant changes compared with placebo. Tenover (586), in a crossover study of 13 older men with low T levels, recorded a decrease in urinary hydroxyproline excretion after 3 months of T esters (100 mg/wk) but no changes in bone formation markers. In another study, T esters (200 mg every 2 wk) for 3 months in healthy older men increased osteocalcin concentrations in the active treatment group (n = 8) compared with placebo (587). Similarly, Amory et al. (582), in the study described in the previous paragraph using im T (200 mg every 2 wk for 3 yr), reported an increase in bone-specific alkaline phosphate and a decrease of urinary deoxypyridinoline (a marker of bone resorption). On the other hand, Christmas et al. (583), using T doses of 100 mg every 2 wk, did not find any changes in bone turnover markers; neither did others using 1 yr of T transdermal patch or 3 yr of scrotal...
patch (21, 581). Likewise, Sih et al. (588) could not detect any changes in bone markers between the older men who received T (n = 17) or placebo (n = 15) after im T administration of 200 mg every 2 wk for 1 yr.

In conclusion, T administration in healthy older men for at least 1 yr results in a small but significant improvement in BMD, and this effect is dependent on pretreatment T concentration and T dose.

b. GH and coadministration of GH and testosterone. There are no published studies that have administered GH to healthy older men for longer than 6 months. There are only four studies that have assessed the effect of GH treatment on bone in older men. In the earliest study, Rudman et al. (20) demonstrated that a high dose of GH (30 μg/kg) in 12 healthy older men for 6 months produced a significant increase of BMD at the lumbar spine (1.6%); however, there was no placebo-treated control group in the study. A crossover study in 10 older men evaluated the effects of GH, T, and GH+T combined on bone turnover markers with a 1-month intervention period followed by a 3-month washout period and reported a significant effect on osteocalcin in the GH and GH+T treatment groups (429). In another study of 16 wk, Yarasheski et al. (589) reported that although markers of bone turnover increased with GH plus resistance exercise training, improvements in BMD were no greater in the GH group than with the placebo. This study demonstrated that bone turnover is stimulated quickly with GH, but the 16-wk treatment period was too short to see anything other than a fall in BMD after GH because we now know that mobilization of bone is stimulated before synthesis (590), because markers of bone resorption and formation have been shown to be increased after both GH+T and GH administration.

3. Effects on body composition
a. Testosterone. Several placebo-controlled studies of 3-month to 3-yr duration have demonstrated that T administration to healthy older men tended to increase LBM; the effect on fat body mass (FBM) is less consistent (48, 49, 532, 586, 588). Differences in pretreatment T levels, study duration, mode and dose of T used, and subsequent post-treatment T concentrations may well explain the discrepant findings.

Administration of im T ester (100 mg/wk) in 13 healthy older men for 3 months significantly increased LBM by 1.8 kg (as measured by the hydrostatic weighting technique) with no changes in FBM (586). In another study, Ferrando et al. (443), using high supraphysiological T doses in a trial lasting 6 months, reported that total and appendicular LBM (measured by DEXA scan) increased significantly by 4.2 and 3 kg, respectively, in the T group (n = 7) when compared with placebo (n = 5). Percentage of FBM decreased significantly by 3.6%, whereas leg muscle volume assessed by magnetic resonance imaging increased. Of note, this is the only placebo-controlled study to show an increase in appendicular LBM in healthy older men, although it was achieved with supraphysiological doses of T. In a second study, administration of T in the form of scrotal patch for a 3-yr period in healthy older men was shown to significantly increase LBM by 1.9 kg and decrease FBM by 3 kg (21) (Fig. 4). Of significance, the increase in LBM occurred as early as 6 months into the study and occurred in the trunk, whereas changes of FBM became evident at 12 months and occurred mainly in the legs and arms (as assessed by DEXA).

Page et al. (531) reported that im T ester (200 mg every 2 wk) for 36 months in healthy older men who were randomly assigned to receive T or T plus finasteride increased LBM significantly by 3.7 kg and decreased FBM by 6%. Although T appeared to have equally reduced trunk and leg fat assessed by DEXA scan, it was found at the end of the study that the men treated with T had an increase in their waist-hip ratio when compared with placebo. This suggests that T exerts its lipolytic action mainly on sc and im fat, and not in intraabdominal fat depot. Another study where im injections of T esters were administered to healthy older men for 1 yr in the same manner as above (200 mg every 2 wk) failed to record significant changes of BF when assessed by bioelectrical impedance (588). In a further study in healthy older men, oral T undecanoate 80 mg twice daily for 6 months resulted in significant mean differences for LBM of 1.2 kg and FBM of 1.3 kg (assessed by DEXA scan) between the T-treated group (n = 113) and the placebo group (n = 110), but not of intraabdominal VF measured by ultrasound (586). A similar magnitude of change in LBM and FBM was also observed by Wittert et al. (591), who gave oral T for 12 months to 76 healthy older men with low T levels. Nair et al. (532) observed that T patch therapy in healthy older men with low T levels for a 2-yr period failed to decrease the per-
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Figure 4. Mean (±se) change from baseline in fat and lean mass of the arms, legs, and trunk, as determined by DEXA, of 108 men over 65 yr of age who were treated with either T or placebo (54 men each). The decrease in fat mass in the arms (P < 0.02) and legs (P < 0.001) and the increase in lean mass of the trunk (P < 0.001) in the T-treated subjects were significantly different from those in the placebo-treated subjects at 36 months. Other changes were not significantly different between the two groups. [Reproduced from P. J. Snyder et al.: Effect of testosterone treatment on body composition and muscle strength in men over 65 years of age. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 84:2647–2653, 1999 (21). © The Endocrine Society.]

Figure 4. Mean (±se) change from baseline in fat and lean mass of the arms, legs, and trunk, as determined by DEXA, of 108 men over 65 yr of age who were treated with either T or placebo (54 men each). The decrease in fat mass in the arms (P < 0.02) and legs (P < 0.001) and the increase in lean mass of the trunk (P < 0.001) in the T-treated subjects were significantly different from those in the placebo-treated subjects at 36 months. Other changes were not significantly different between the two groups. [Reproduced from P. J. Snyder et al.: Effect of testosterone treatment on body composition and muscle strength in men over 65 years of age. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 84:2647–2653, 1999 (21). © The Endocrine Society.]

Percentage of FBM in the T-treated group (n = 27) measured by DEXA scan or VF measured by CT scan, when compared with placebo (n = 31); LBM increased significantly, with the mean difference between the two treatment groups being 1.4 kg. The increment in LBM observed could not, however, be attributed to an increase in leg LBM because thigh muscle CSA did not change significantly when compared with placebo, in accordance with the findings reported by Snyder et al. (21). Kenny et al. (592) on the other hand found that T patch administration for 1 yr in healthy older men decreased significantly the percentage of FBM, but changes in LBM assessed by DEXA scan failed (marginally) to reach significance in T-treated men (n = 24) when compared with the placebo group (n = 20).

Giannoulis et al. (48), assessing the effects of GH with and without T on body composition and other functional correlates, randomly assigned 80 healthy older men with lower IGF-I and T levels to receive GH (n = 16) (starting dose, 0.1 mg/d; titrated over 8 wk according to IGF-I levels), T transdermal patch (n = 21), GH + T (n = 16), and placebo (n = 16) for a period of 6 months. They did not detect any significant changes in LBM or FBM (assessed by DEXA scan) in the T-treated group when compared with placebo. No changes were observed in sc fat or VF and sc middle thigh fat or in thigh muscle CSA measured by a CT scan (48). The relatively high baseline T levels and the physiological T administration paradigm by transdermal patch may explain their negative findings. Their results compare well, however, with the findings reported by Blackman et al. (49), the only other placebo-controlled study that reported the effects of GH with or without T in healthy older men. In the Blackman et al. (49) study, the GH starting dose was 30 μg/kg three times per week, whereas T was given im in a dose of 100 mg every 2 wk. No changes were observed in FBM, whereas increases in LBM (measured by DEXA) marginally failed to reach significance after 6 months of T administration to healthy older men (n = 21) when compared with placebo (n = 17). Munzer et al. (576), reporting on the same cohort of patients in another publication, found a significant decline in abdominal sc fat by 7% (but no change in VF) in T-treated men when compared with placebo.

In conclusion, it appears that T administration results in an increase in LBM in healthy older men in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the effects of T on LBM appear principally to occur in the trunk rather than in legs or arms. Conversely, T appears to exert its lipolytic action more on sc appendicular or abdominal fat and not on VF in accordance with what has been shown in young hypogonadal men (presented in Section VI.A) and confirmed by experimental studies (372).
b. GH and coadministration of GH and testosterone. There are very few placebo-controlled studies investigating the effect of GH on body composition and physical function in healthy older men; consequently, some studies with different experimental designs will be included.

In the first major study of its kind, Rudman et al. (20) showed that administration of GH (30 μg/kg three times per week) to 12 healthy older men for a period of 6 months decreased BF by 14% and increased LBM by 9% as measured by whole body ⁴⁰K counting. In a further study from the same group involving 62 healthy older men in the GH group and 21 in the placebo group, where GH was given in a similar high dose, they found similar changes in body composition (593).

Papadakis et al. (94) using similar relatively high doses of GH, adjusting the doses according to IGF-I response, observed 12.8 and 4.4% differences in FBM and LBM, respectively, between the GH-treated (n = 26) and placebo (n = 26) groups of healthy older men over 6 months. In a recent study of 12-wk duration, the effects of resistance training and GH were evaluated in 31 healthy older men; consequently, some studies with different experimental designs will be included.

In the first major study of its kind, Rudman et al. (20) showed that administration of GH (30 μg/kg three times per week) to 12 healthy older men for a period of 6 months decreased BF by 14% and increased LBM by 9% as measured by whole body ⁴⁰K counting. In a further study from the same group involving 62 healthy older men in the GH group and 21 in the placebo group, where GH was given in a similar high dose, they found similar changes in body composition (593).

Papadakis et al. (94) using similar relatively high doses of GH, adjusting the doses according to IGF-I response, observed 12.8 and 4.4% differences in FBM and LBM, respectively, between the GH-treated (n = 26) and placebo (n = 26) groups of healthy older men over 6 months. In a recent study of 12-wk duration, the effects of resistance training and GH were evaluated in 31 healthy older men (594). Measurements were made of body composition, muscle function, muscle thigh CSA, and myosin heavy chain isoforms. LBM increased by 2.4 kg, whereas FBM decreased by 2.2 kg in the GH-treated group, and similar changes were seen in the GH plus exercise group. Muscle CSA, fiber type, and fiber size did not increase after GH, but a substantial increase of myosin heavy chain 2x isoform corresponding to the histochemically determined fiber type IIb was observed. Exercise alone and exercise plus GH increased muscle CSA to the same degree when compared with placebo. Comparable changes in body composition were also observed in another RCT from the same group involving 16 healthy older men who were administered GH in the same doses as before for a 12-wk period and whose body composition was assessed by DEXA scan. LBM increased 3.2 kg, and FBM decreased 3.4 kg, both statistically significant when compared to the placebo group (595).

In a study where 18 healthy older men initially underwent resistance training for 14 wk and were then randomized to GH administration (20 μg/kg · d) or placebo for a further 10-wk intervention, Taaffe et al. (461) did not find an effect of GH on enhancing muscle thigh CSA and muscle fiber size when compared with exercise alone and did not find an increase in im IGF-I mRNA.

Blackman et al. (49), in the study described previously where GH (30 μg/kg three times per wk) and/or T enanthate (100 mg every 2 wk) was administered to 74 healthy older men for 6 months, reported that LBM increased significantly after GH and GH + T by 3.1 and 4.3 kg, respectively; FBM was also reduced significantly in both treatment groups. Munzer et al. (576), in another publication reporting data on these same cohorts, showed that total abdominal fat assessed by magnetic resonance imaging decreased after GH and GH + T but not after T treatment when compared with placebo, whereas sc fat decreased significantly in all treatment groups. VF, however, decreased only after GH and GH + T in a within-group comparison, but not when compared with placebo.

In a similarly designed study performed by Giannoulis et al. (48) where GH (starting dose, 0.1 mg/d, and titrated over 8 wk according to IGF-I levels) and/or T patch (5 mg/d) were administered in a RCT involving 80 healthy older men for 6 months, similar significant changes in body composition were observed after GH or GH + T. Total and appendicular FBM (assessed by DEXA) decreased significantly after GH + T but not after GH alone, whereas small decreases in sc and VF after both GH and GH + T were found, but these changes failed to reach statistical significance. Failure of GH treatment to decrease FBM significantly in this study, in contrast to the studies presented before, could be attributed to the smaller GH dose used because by titrating the GH dose, subjects were exposed to the final GH dose for a shorter period.

LM increased significantly by 2 kg after GH and by 1.8 kg after GH + T. Interestingly, GH + T but not GH alone significantly increased appendicular LBM by 1.7 kg when compared with placebo, whereas the effects on trunk LBM were similar. Thus, the effect of GH + T on LBM and FBM appears more potent than either GH or T. In accordance with this observation, muscle thigh CSA (as assessed by a CT scan) increased significantly only after combined GH + T treatment (Fig. 5).

The finding of a positive interaction between GH and T is of considerable importance because neither GH nor T alone, in physiological doses, was able to increase muscle mass in older men in the few studies reported. Indeed, Lange et al. (594) did not see an increase in muscle CSA in healthy older men after 12-wk GH treatment, whereas Taaffe et al. (461) did not demonstrate an increase in the CSA of muscle fiber of types I and II in exercising men who had been given GH. This is in contrast to the well-described increases in muscle CSA that occur in GHD men after GH treatment. On the other hand, Weissberger et al. (596) have shown an increase in muscle thigh CSA over 14-wk GH treatment preoperatively in older patients who were undergoing elective total hip replacement. This study used a higher dose of GH (0.04 U/kg · d; 13 μg/kg · d) and demonstrates that in a short trial, the effects of GH are dose-dependent.

Similarly, T failed to increase muscle mass in older men when it was administered in what might be judged as physiological doses (21, 48, 532). In a study that reported an
increase in muscle volume and leg LBM after 6-month treatment with im T, doses were claimed to be physiological but were rather high for such a claim because trough plasma T levels were maintained “between 17 and 28 nmol/liter” and would be judged too high to be used in long-term replacement therapy (443). In keeping with this interpretation, Sinha-Hikim et al. (38) evaluated the effect of different T doses on muscle fiber types and CSA in healthy older men who were rendered hypogonadal with a long-acting GnRH agonist and observed that only the high supraphysiological doses of 300 and 600 mg/wk im increased type I and II muscle fiber CSA.

In another publication, Giannoulis et al. (597) evaluated the effects of GH and/or T on muscle gene expression and whole body protein synthesis (WBPS) using L-[1-13C]leucine infusion in 24 healthy older men comprising a subgroup of participants of the study described previously (48). In both the GH and GH+T subgroups, whole body protein synthesis (WBPS) and degradation increased, whereas leucine oxidation did not change. In the same study, T alone failed to induce any changes in WBPS. This is in accordance with the study of Huang et al. (598) who also evaluated the effects of GH and/or T on WBPS in 60 healthy older men and showed an increase of WBPS after 6-month treatment with GH+T, whereas the increase observed after GH alone failed to reach significance.

Taking into account that both GH and GH+T have been shown to increase WBPS but that it was only after GH+T that a significant increase in appendicular muscle mass and muscle thigh CSA were found, it might be reasoned that these increments really do reflect skeletal muscle hypertrophy and are of important clinical significance. Thus, the coadministration of GH and T indeed promotes skeletal muscle anabolism, whereas GH alone has a lesser effect and may indeed only affect whole LBM rather than the more important skeletal muscle mass.

GH has been shown unequivocally to increase WBPS in both healthy (76) and GHD adults (73, 599). The effect of GH on skeletal muscle and contractile protein synthesis are less pronounced (600). Welle et al. (601) in a small study could not detect any effect of GH administration for 3 months on myofibrillar protein synthesis in healthy older men (but were also unable to detect an effect on WBPS), whereas Yarasheski et al. (512) reported no changes in muscle protein synthesis in older men when GH was administered in conjunction with exercise. Only Butterfield et al. (513) reported an increase in skeletal muscle protein synthesis after 1 month of GH treatment in older women. Testosterone on the other hand has been shown to increase skeletal muscle protein synthesis in both healthy older men (436, 443) and hypogonadal men (387); the latter, however, occurred with high supraphysiological doses.

These findings further support the initial reasoning for a potential additive effect of GH and T that was presented in Section VII of this review.

In this regard, Sattler et al. (602) have evaluated the effect of T gel in two dosage regimes (5 and 10 mg/d) with or without recombinant human GH in two different doses (3 and 5 μg/kg · d) for 16 wk in 129 healthy older men with
artificially induced hypogonadism (Leydig cell clamp). They reported dose-dependent changes in body composition (and strength and aerobic endurance) compared with baseline in all T-treated groups and an additive effect of GH (Fig. 6).

In conclusion, GH alone has been shown consistently to increase LBM and to decrease FBM in healthy older men in all of the few studies published. Furthermore, it appears that combined GH+T treatment may be more effective and preferentially affect skeletal muscle mass.

4. Effects on muscle function and physical functional correlates

a. Testosterone. The current evidence from the literature does not clearly support the argument that T treatment in healthy older men improves muscle and physical function. Placebo-controlled studies have produced inconclusive results. In one study, scrotal patch T administered for 36 months did not increase either knee extension or flexion strength when measured by a dynamometer or hand grip strength or physical function measured by walking and stair climbing (21). In a recently reported study, 2 yr of transdermal T by patch failed to improve muscle strength, VO2max, and QoL in healthy older men (532). In another study, transdermal T patch for 1 yr in healthy older men did not improve leg extension strength measured by 1RM exercise or increase habitual physical activity, as assessed by the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (581). A third study involving 6 months of transdermal T patch did not produce any changes in knee extension and flexion at three different angular velocities or on hand grip strength or VO2max (48). Furthermore, im T enanthate (100 mg every 2 wk) given for 6 months did not increase muscle strength in a group of upper and lower body muscles assessed by 1RM or VO2max (49). Oral T undecanoate 80 mg twice daily for 6- and 12-month duration had no effect on muscle function (584, 591), whereas functional mobility assessed by the time required to perform different physical tasks also did not change. Finally, 200 mg of im T enanthate every 2 wk for 3 yr increased right but not left hand grip strength and physical function assessed by time modified physical performance test by 4%, while having
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no effect on isokinetic lower extremity strength measured at both ankles and knees (531). The improvement in physical function contrasts with the studies presented before that failed to demonstrate similar positive findings in healthy elderly men (21, 532).

Higher T increments achieved and possibly the greater length of treatment may well explain these differences. Page et al. (531), attributed the small magnitude of physical function improvement to the “ceiling effect” of the tests used to assess these changes. In this regard, it appears that although a linear relationship exists between muscle strength and time required to perform different tasks as assessed by the physical performance test, there is a threshold above which improvements in strength could not result in improvement in times recorded, which are already near maximally shortened and may well explain the inherent inability of these tests to detect changes in physical function in well-conditioned older men (603, 604).

On a more positive note, 200 mg T cypionate im every 2 wk for 12 months in 17 hypogonadal older men (mean age, 68 yr) increased bilateral grip strength compared with placebo (588). In another study, T enanthate im for 6 months in supraphysiological doses to older men increased 1RM muscle scores but failed to increase VO2max (443). In a recent dose-ranging study, im T enanthate in doses ranging from 25–300 mg/wk for 20 wk resulted in a dose-dependent increase in muscle strength and power but not an improvement in physical function assessed by stair climbing and walking tests (605). Finally, Katzenelson et al. (606), in a study where T (5 mg/d) was administered by patch with or without a domestic exercise program for 12 wk, reported an improvement in QoL in the domains of physical functioning, role physical, general health, and social functioning as assessed by the SF-36 questionnaire, when T was combined with exercise but not after T or exercise alone.

In conclusion, it is clear that T treatment alone improves muscle strength but not physical function in a dose-dependent manner in healthy older men. It remains unclear, however, whether clinically meaningful outcomes could be achieved with T doses that could be administered safely.

b. GH and coadministration of GH and testosterone. On the one hand, studies where GH was given to healthy older adults resulted in consistent findings revealing the inability of GH to improve muscle performance. This was when GH was administered either alone or in conjunction with an exercise program (20, 94, 512, 594, 607). In two studies of 6-month duration, GH failed to increase muscle strength compared with placebo (20, 94). In a series of studies performed in healthy older people (512, 607), administration of GH after a period of intense weight training failed to further improve the strength gains observed after exercise alone, although muscle mass was reported to increase more after GH. Of likely importance, these interventional studies did not exceed 3 months in duration. There is only one study to date that has reported increased muscle bulk and strength after GH in older men (601).

On the other hand, studies that have evaluated the combined effect of GH+T have produced more encouraging results. Thus, administration of GH (starting dose, 30 µg/kg · d, later reduced to 20 µg/kg · d) and im T enanthate 100 mg every 2 wk for 6 months in healthy older men marginally increased 1RM muscle strength (P = 0.05) when compared with placebo. In addition, an improvement in VO2max was recorded, and the changes in both muscle strength and VO2max were directly related to changes of LBM (49). These findings have been confirmed by Giannoulis et al. (48), where GH administration in doses titrated according to IGF-I response combined with the T patch (5 mg/d) for 6 months in healthy older men increased VO2max when compared with placebo, and the increases recorded in LBM and appendicular LBM were also significant when compared with placebo (Fig. 7). In this study, there was evidence of a positive effect on muscle strength where one of the six measurements performed (knee flexion at 120°/sec) significantly improved when compared with placebo. Differences and difficulties in the methods employed to measure isokinetic muscle strength, together with differences in GH dosage between studies, may well explain any discrepancies.

In conclusion, GH+T, but not GH alone or T alone, appears to improve maximal aerobic capacity and to marginally improve muscle strength, and this can be achieved with near-physiological doses of hormone treatment over a treatment period as short as 6 months. Because VO2max and muscle strength are the strongest determinants of functional performance and independent living in older men, these findings are of potential importance.

5. Effects of testosterone in frail older men

So far there are no published studies on the effects of GH or GH+T in frail older men. In a recent study involving 131 frail elderly men (mean age, 77 yr) who also had a history of bone fracture or osteoporosis and low T levels, Kenny et al. (608) reported that 50 mg/d of T gel treatment for 1 yr increased BMD by 1.4 and 3.2% at the femoral neck and lumbar spine, respectively. At the same time, BMD decreased by 1.3% at mid-radius, and there was a fall in both bone resorption markers (by 6%) and formation markers (by 11%). In addition, total and appendicular LBM increased and BF decreased, but muscle strength and physical function did not change when compared with placebo. The study that was initially designed as a 2-yr
intervention was associated with poor treatment adherence and high dropout rate of 53%, however, mainly because of cardiac and prostate events in both treatment groups, and subsequently the analysis of 1-yr data was presented. Srinivas-Shankar et al. (609) in another placebo-controlled study involving 274 frail old men (mean age, 74 yr) with mobility limitations, where T gel was administered (50 mg/d) for 6 months (n = 138), reported an improvement in lower limb muscle strength and QoL when compared with placebo. Physical function has improved only in the subset of subjects who were frailer possibly as a result of the “ceiling effect” of the tests used and described above, whereas the adjustment of T doses to achieve a target range employed may explain the positive finding, contrary to the study reported by Kenny et al. (608). Similar dropout rates were recorded between the treatment and placebo groups, although a slightly higher rate of increased PSA levels and serious adverse events (six vs. three) was found in the active treatment group. In another study where the combined effect of high- or low-intensity exercise with or without im T (100 mg/wk) was evaluated in 71 frail older men over 12 wk, it was reported that there were greater increases in muscle CSA after combined T and exercise treatment, but no differences in muscle function when compared with exercise alone. There were 10 dropouts because of exacerbations of previous heart and pulmonary diseases attributed to exercise (610).

Finally, Basaria et al. (611), in a recent study of 209 frail older men (mean age, 74 yr) with a high prevalence of chronic disease, found that transdermal T gel at a higher dose (100 mg/d) was associated with an increased incidence of serious cardiovascular adverse events in the T group (n = 23) compared with the placebo group (n = 6), which eventually led to the discontinuation of the study. Despite the high prevalence of adverse events, the study did show positive effects of T treatment on strength (leg and chest presses) and in stair climbing.

These consistent findings indicate that frail old men are more susceptible to side effects of T administration and that too high doses of T have been selected for these (and many other) studies. Experience has proved that the older people are very sensitive to hormonal intervention, just as they are to medication—it appears that this is a lesson being learned rather slowly.

Thus, the role of anabolic agents in reversing or halting established frailty of aging, a dynamic catabolic process that

Figure 7. The effects of placebo (PI), GH, testosterone (Te), and combined GH and testosterone (GHTe) on LBM (A), fat mass (B), percentage change from 0 to 6 months in the midthigh CSA (C), and VO₂ max (D). In A, B, and D, solid shading is baseline, and gray columns represent 6-month values. *, P < 0.02; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. [Reproduced from M. G. Giannoulis et al.: The effects of growth hormone and/or testosterone in healthy elderly men: a randomized controlled trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 91:477–484, 2006 (48), with permission. © The Endocrine Society.]
involves a decompensated state of muscle adaptive mechanisms, remains questionable. Selecting the optimal dose for a trial is critical. It appears from reviewing the literature that the temptation to use a higher dose to demonstrate effectiveness within the limited time scale of an RCT has too often produced a negative outcome largely attributable to the inappropriate choice of dose. This has been the case for many studies using T or GH in monotherapy. One of the greatest strengths of the study by Giannoulis et al. (48) is that, after reviewing the literature carefully, they selected low and carefully controlled doses of GH and T and adjusted these according to the individual sensitivity of their volunteers. The drop out rate in this trial was about as low as any recorded.

C. Conclusions and thoughts of designing future trials on HRT in older men

Reconsidering the data reviewed and the problems reported when selecting subjects suitable for HRT on the basis of baseline IGF-I and T concentrations, we feel that more attention needs to be placed on using low-dose replacement over a longer period of time rather than to selecting participants on the basis of baseline androgen and GH status. Although we do not know what should be considered physiological replacement, treatment has to be arbitrarily defined from the resulting hormone levels achieved in the blood. A cautious approach that we recommend will be to use doses of GH and/or T that result in average IGF-I and T levels close to or slightly lower than the mean of the young, age-specific, reference range. This in turn is close to the upper limit for the older age-specific reference range, and this should be deemed as a sufficient stimulus for the anabolic effects to be demonstrated. As a matter of fact, exogenous T administration suppresses the endogenous production, and it results in similar increments in plasma T independent of the baseline T levels. In this regard, most but not all of the studies reported to date have used high, rather supraphysiological doses of GH and T replacement.

Longer interventional trials (1 yr and greater) are needed to clarify whether HRT has a place in reversing, preventing, or delaying frailty. The ongoing large-scale interventional trials such as the National Institute of Aging, National Institutes of Health-supported Testosterone Trials (612) may provide some answers.

In conclusion, selecting healthy elderly men with not only low but also low normal baseline levels of T and IGF-I and close monitoring of the HRT to produce individual average hormone concentrations in a predefined target range (into the upper half of the age-specific reference range) appears a well-justified approach.

When planning such HRT, it is important to consider the following points:

- Intramuscular T esters in doses of 100 mg/wk or 200 mg every 2 wk result in mean serum T concentrations about 50–70% higher than the mean for normal young men (613) but also include peaks and troughs where concentrations of T are way outside the normal reference range. On the other hand, doses of T enanthate of 100 mg every 2 wk or oral T undecanoate 80 mg twice daily may be deemed inadequate.
- Low doses of T gel preparations (T gel 50) that better mimic the physiological profile should be used rather than high doses (T gel 100) because the latter have been reported to result in T concentrations in the upper physiological range throughout the day (386). The T patch also closely resembles the physiological daily pattern of T, but its use is limited to a degree by the skin irritation caused by the adhesive and the enhancing agent. Nevertheless, irrespective of the T formulation used, T doses should be adjusted to achieve levels as close as possible into the mid-normal range.
- To date, high and rather supraphysiological doses of GH were used in most of the studies in older people. To minimize possible adverse effects, to increase tolerability, and to assess the effect of GH under truly physiological replacement conditions, it is essential to monitor treatment by measuring IGF-I levels and make appropriate dose adjustments when necessary. One must accept arbitrarily defined “physiological” GH replacement targets, such as providing IGF-I levels close to the upper limit of an age-specific reference range (which will be close to the 50th percentile of IGF-I levels of young men).

D. Safety issues of growth hormone and testosterone replacement treatment in older men

1. GH adverse events

The very long-term effects of GH administration in healthy older men are currently unknown. Some epidemiological studies have shown an association between serum IGF-I level and the occurrence of prostate and breast cancer in the normal population.

On one hand, in a nested case-control study from the Physician’s Health Study, a positive association was observed between a single serum IGF-I level and the risk of prostate cancer development after 5 or more years (614). A similar analysis of the Nurses’ Health Study showed that IGF-I levels could predict breast cancer in premenopausal but not postmenopausal women (615). Consequent to the coverage of these results in the lay press, there has been concern that GH therapy and its attendant increase in IGF-I could lead to the development of malignancies. This is a statistical association only and as such does not pro-
vide any evidence of causality. Because the direct evidence does not indicate GH to be carcinogenic, this statistical link could be what is recognized in the statistical world as a “spurious relationship” or spurious correlation (616).

On the other hand, Colao et al. (330) could not demonstrate any increased risk of prostate malignancy in patients with active or treated acromegaly where GH and IGF-I levels were grossly elevated over many years; in support of this conclusion, it has been long established that acromegalic patients do not die from prostate cancer (617). Also, Olsson et al. (618) recently reported no changes in the progression of pituitary tumors in patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas receiving GH for 10 yr. Furthermore, an increase in the recurrence rates of either intracranial or extracranial tumors was not found in adults with GHD on long-term GH treatment (619). Fradkin et al. (620) did report an increase in leukemia in children treated with GH, but the excess risk could be attributed to the presence of other tumors and/or radiotherapy. There was no increase in leukemia among pediatric patients with idiopathic GHD who received GH (620). In another report (621), mortality from colorectal cancer and Hodgkin’s disease was increased in a cohort of 1848 GHD patients who received GH during childhood. The number of cases was small (only two cases of each), however, and treatment regimes differed from modern day dosing regimens. No increased rates of leukemia were reported in this cohort. There are so far no published reports of long-term observational studies in patients with the GHD syndrome treated with GH with respect to the development of malignancies, although all the postmarketing surveillance studies will be watching this carefully, and the fact that no such association has been reported to date weighs heavily in favor of the safety of GH treatment. The Growth Hormone Research Society organized an international consensus workshop in 2001 to discuss the safety of recombinant GH and concluded that there was no good evidence of GH being carcinogenic. They recommend prudence and vigilance with those on long-term treatment because older people may be more susceptible to any putative carcinogen properties (622). There is also the possibility that GH might stimulate growth in an existing but undetected tumor, although there is no evidence to suggest that this has actually occurred.

Older men are more susceptible to GH-related adverse effects, and earlier studies that have administered GH in doses comparable to young GHD adults have reported a high incidence of adverse events (20, 49, 94, 593, 594). Adverse effects appeared to occur early during the study period and were similar to those observed in GHD patients, with fluid retention (varying degrees of pitting leg edema and carpal tunnel syndrome) and arthralgia involving small hand joints being most prevalent. Although most of the symptoms reported were mild and subsided or even disappeared after GH dose reduction, it becomes apparent that the incidence of adverse effects is still somewhat higher than that reported in young GHD patients. The symptoms recorded were largely those predictably attributable to GH action (effects), such as those consequential to sodium retention (ankle edema and carpal tunnel) and arthralgias (growing pains), rather than unexpected side effects. These usually subside spontaneously over 1 or 2 wk or in response to a dose reduction and are in reality indicators of overdosage rather than side effects. In modern regimes of GH treatment developed through experience, the starting dose is always low, and the dose is slowly escalated based on the subject’s well-being and the measured IGF-I responses. Individual people differ substantially in their sensitivity to GH (just as they do to insulin), and fixed doses based on body weight (originating from pediatric experience) are now outdated.

With this in mind, Giannoulis et al. (48) in a 6-month study where the individual and combined effects of GH and T were assessed, opted for a generally low dose of HRT and used a GH dose-titrating method to tailor GH dose to the individual’s sensitivity. They reported a 41% incidence of “at least one” from a list of possible adverse events in the participants treated with GH (n = 36). The incidence of edema, carpal tunnel syndrome, and arthralgia from that study was 11, 8, and 20%, respectively, whereas no subject developed diabetes. These symptoms were generally minor, transient, or well-tolerated or they subsided in response to a dose reduction, and of greatest significance, they were responsible for no withdrawals from treatment. Many of these symptoms were in fact due to GH therapeutic effects. The safety profile of this study compares favorably not only with studies where GH has been administered in healthy older men but even with studies where GH was administered to young GHD adult men.

Indeed, a recent study of 595 GHD patients comparing the safety and efficacy of two dosage algorithms reported that 44% of patients on low-dose GH (3 μg/kg · d) and 55% on high-dose GH (6 μg/kg · d) reported at least one adverse effect during 6 months of GH treatment (623). A similar trial involving 387 GHD patients and comparing safety and efficacy of an individualized dose-titration regimen with a fixed body weight-based dosing regimen of GH administration reported a 60% frequency of GH-dependent adverse events during 8 months of treatment (624).

GH therapy could be a cause of insulin resistance, as has been shown in studies with GHD adults (419, 420) and has been recorded in older men (49, 593). This is an unwanted and possibly avoidable adverse event (48, 602) because older men are already at higher risk for CVD (625). It
seems that insulin sensitivity may improve after 6 to 12 months of GH treatment, and 5 or 7 yr of GH therapy do not adversely affect insulin sensitivity (216, 328, 405, 407, 421). It has been suggested that the initial deterioration in insulin sensitivity is due to increased FFA oxidation because of GH-induced lipolysis, which adversely affects glucose disposal in muscle (626). An inverse relationship between circulating FFA concentrations and insulin sensitivity in GHD adults has been confirmed in several studies using acipimox, a blocker of FFA release (627, 628).

Subsequently, as BF declines, a new steady state is reached, and this may explain the improvement in insulin sensitivity observed after prolong GH administration (628). It appears that by administering low doses of GH, there may still be beneficial effects for insulin sensitivity without going through the first phase of insulin resistance (48, 602). A rare complication reported after GH treatment is gynecomastia or nipple tenderness, mainly in older men, which was reported in some studies (94, 593, 602) but not in others (48, 49).

In conclusion, GH can be administered to healthy older men with a safety profile similar to that seen in GHD men, but so far observations have only been made over a period of 6 months. Dose titration tailors the dose to the sensitivity of the individual and minimizes problems. Many of the earlier recorded adverse events were due to overdosage. As we have stressed, the potential increased susceptibility of older men to any putative carcinogen or mitogenic properties requires vigilance when GH is administered over a longer period, whereas the long-term effects of GH on frail elderly men with associated comorbidities are still unknown.

2. Testosterone adverse events

Several systematic reviews of the literature have presented data regarding the adverse events associated with T administration in men but failed to provide solid evidence regarding the safety of T treatment (388, 629, 630). The short duration and the high heterogeneity of the studies analyzed may explain the inconsistent findings across the studies (526). None of these reviews assessed the adverse affects in older men exclusively. One systematic review recently reported the adverse effects of T treatment in 51 studies where T was administered to men with a wide range of conditions and low or low-normal T levels (388). Testosterone treatment was associated with a significant increase in hemoglobin and hematocrit and a decrease in HDL-C levels, but no treatment effect was reported on PSA levels, prostate cancer, composite prostate outcome, cardiovascular events, or overall mortality. Interestingly, as we have discussed before in this section, T does not appear to affect adversely the lipid profile in healthy older men.

Another meta-analysis of 19 studies of middle-aged and older men reported that the T-treated men were four times more likely to have a hematocrit higher than 50% and a higher combined rate of all prostate events when compared with placebo (odds ratio, 1.79; 95% confidence interval, 1.07–2.95). The individual rates of prostate cancer, increments in PSA levels, and prostate biopsy events did not differ, however, when compared with placebo (629).

A further meta-analysis of 30 studies of both middle-aged and older men with or without associated comorbidities (mainly cardiovascular) failed to show an adverse T effect on blood pressure, glycemia, or lipid profile but reported a trend for increased cardiovascular adverse events (pooled odds ratio, 1.82; 95% confidence interval, 0.78–4.23) (630).

One of the major concerns regarding the administration of T in healthy older men is the development of prostate cancer because case reports have suggested that T-replacement therapy may convert an occult cancer into a clinically apparent lesion (631). Testosterone treatment should not be prescribed to men with clinically evident breast or prostate carcinoma because these tumors are usually androgen sensitive (632).

Despite decades of research, there is no compelling evidence that T has a causative role in prostate cancer. For example, studies using stored frozen plasma samples failed to show a difference in T levels between men in whom prostate cancer developed 7 to 25 yr later and those in whom it did not (633, 634). In addition, a compilation of published prospective studies of T-replacement therapy revealed only five cases of prostate cancer among 461 men (1.1%) followed for 6 to 36 months, a prevalence rate similar to that in the general population. No follow-up data beyond 36 months are available (635). Furthermore, the integrative data of studies that we could identify (as shown in Table 4), where T was administered from 12 to 36 months, revealed three cases of prostate cancer among 219 T-treated men, compared with one case among 194 placebo-treated men, which again appears similar to the age-specific incidence rate of prostate cancer recorded in the general population of 800 new cases in 100,000 patients per year (info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/prostate/). It has been argued that to detect a 30% difference in prostate cancer incidence between placebo- and T-treated subjects, 6000 older men with low T would need to be randomized to each treatment group and would require treatment for an average of 5 yr (636). Several (436, 582, 585, 586, 637) but not all (48, 49, 532, 581, 588, 591) of the studies have shown that T administration
In healthy older men can increase PSA levels significantly when compared with placebo. The increments observed do not usually result in PSA levels above the normal upper limit; neither did the incidence of prostate-related events, differences in prostate biopsies, or changes in urine flow differ in T-treated men compared with placebo (526, 581). It should be noted that a substantial increase in PSA level might well indicate that a prostate cancer has developed (638). Consequently, vigilance is still required when T is administered in healthy older men. The recently published

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (Ref.)</th>
<th>Study protocol, active treatment, duration, no. of subjects</th>
<th>Main subject characteristics</th>
<th>Main findings and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brill, 2002 (429)</td>
<td>Crossover GH, 6.25 µg/kg · d; T patch, 5 mg/d 1-month active treatment alternating with 3-month washout period n = 10</td>
<td>Healthy older men</td>
<td>LBM increased in all treatment groups, with improvements also noticed in some measurements of physical performance; muscle strength and BF did not change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giannoulis 2006 (48, 646); 2008 (597)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 6 months Act, n = 19; Pl, n = 20 (dropouts, Act, n = 3; Pl, n = 4) T patch, 5 mg/d fixed dose GH starting dose, 0.1 mg/d, increased gradually to a mean of 0.54 mg/d Target IGF-I, 250 ng/ml</td>
<td>Shown in Tables 1 and 3</td>
<td>Total, appendicular, and muscle CSA increased VO₂max and one of six measurements of isokinetic muscle strength increased Whole body protein turnover also increased Total and appendicular BF decreased, abdominal fat area did not change; no changes in lipid profile and VLDL metabolism No changes in insulin levels no glucose intolerance, diabetes or other adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Münzer, 2001 (576); Blackman, 2002 (49); Christmas, 2002 (583); Huang, 2005 (598); Münzer, 2009 (645)</td>
<td>Parallel groups Duration, 6 months Act, n = 19 to 21; pl, n = 17 (dropouts, Act, n = 1) T enanthate, 100 mg/2 wk im GH starting dose, 30 µg/kg, reduced to 20 µg/kg, 3 times/wk</td>
<td>Shown in Tables 1 and 3</td>
<td>Total LBM increased and BF decreased; sc fat also decreased, but not VF Muscle strength, VO₂max, WBPK increased Markers of bone turnover increased whereas a marginal decline in BMD on proximal radius was found High incidence of adverse effects, mainly glucose intolerance and diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sattler 2009 (602)</td>
<td>Not placebo controlled T gel in two doses, 5 and 10 g/d, combined with three different GH doses (0, 0.3, 0.5 µg/kg · d) Duration, 16 wk Act GH 0.3 + T 5, n = 21; Act GH 0.3 + T 10, n = 21; Act GH 0.5 + T 5, n = 19; Act GH 0.5 + T 10, n = 21(dropouts Act GH 0.3 + T 5, n = 2; Act GH 0.3 + T 10, n = 1; Act GH 0.5 + T 5, n = 2; Act GH 0.5 + T 10, n = 4)</td>
<td>Community-dwelling healthy older men IGF-I, &lt;167 ng/ml</td>
<td>Total and appendicular LBM increased, total and trunk fat decreased All changes were dose-dependent, with the highest effects recorded when higher dose of combined GH and T was used Muscle strength similarly increased only after higher doses of GH and T Increased incidence of glucose intolerance, diabetes, and high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All studies included are randomized, placebo-controlled and double blind unless otherwise stated. Four trials were identified where GH + T was administered in healthy older men (aged >60 yr) and was reported on outcome measurements related to physical function. A total of 132 subjects received GH + T.
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guideline from The Endocrine Society on T replacement in men comprehensively addresses this issue (526).

Briefly, it has been advocated that men opting for T treatment should be offered an estimation of prostate cancer risk based on PSA measurement and digital rectal examination at baseline and then use the prostate cancer risk calculator (639) that considers these factors plus additional factors that contribute to prostate cancer risk. Men found to have higher risk should have a urological examination before commencing T treatment despite having PSA levels less than 4 ng/ml. While on treatment, PSA levels should be monitored at 3 to 6 months after the initiation of treatment. An annual increment higher than 1.4 ng/ml should prompt a urological examination. Furthermore, an annual rate of PSA rise greater than 0.4 ng/ml over a 2-yr period should also lead to a urological evaluation (640). Finally, severe symptoms of lower urinary tract obstruction as indicated by an IPSS (International Prostate Symptom Score) of 21 or greater is a relative contraindication to T treatment (636, 641).

Testosterone has been known to stimulate erythropoiesis, possibly by stimulating erythropoietin production (642), whereas suppression by T of serum hepcidin (an iron regulatory peptide) may also contribute to this (643). Polycythemia has been reported to occur in healthy older men mainly after im and oral T administration (443, 582, 584–586, 588, 591, 602, 637), but usually not after transdermal T (48, 49, 532, 581). Nevertheless, it is important to monitor the hematocrit at regular intervals to avoid this potentially serious adverse event.

Pharmacological doses of T may induce or worsen sleep apnea in healthy older men (644); this appears to be an uncommon side effect. Snyder et al. (585) could not detect any change in the respiratory distress index after 36 months of transdermal T.

Finally, an increase in blood pressure and clinically significant edema may seldom occur after T administration in healthy older men (602, 635), but these are potentially serious adverse events that appear to occur most frequently in older patients with preexisting cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases. Indeed, it was necessary to interrupt a recent study where T was prescribed in frail older men because of a high incidence of serious cardiovascular and pulmonary adverse events.

For more details regarding adverse events related to T treatment, see Refs. 42, 635, and 643.

In conclusion, T treatment in healthy older men in near physiological doses does not appear to incur serious adverse events, although long-term safety has not been established, and regular monitoring of PSA and hematocrit levels is required. Conversely, the high incidence of cardiovascular and prostate adverse events in frail elderly men with associated comorbidities in the few studies reported today calls for higher vigilance when T is administered in this category of subjects.

X. Conclusions and Recommendations

In this review, we have highlighted the reduction in secretion of GH and T that appears to be an inevitable feature of aging and is associated with a loss of lean tissue, accumulation of fat, and loss of strength and mobility. We propose that many of these aging-related changes are due to a lack of the essential role of a favorable anabolic hormone milieu (created mainly by GH and T, but supported by other anabolic agents such as dehydroepiandrosterone) and physical activity in maintaining normal body composition and the preservation of physical functional capacity.

We have also summarized the evidence that shows that exercise alone cannot prevent the decline in physical function observed with aging. We believe that the available data are in favor of a partial causative effect from this loss of anabolic milieu in the development of frailty. This hypothesis raises the question of whether or not we can influence this process favorably and thus reduce burdens of frailty for the individual and society. Without successful intervention, this burden is likely to increase considerably in the coming decades.

Both T and GH are powerful anabolic agents. We believe that these two hormones are the most important agents that, in combination with exercise, normally regulate body composition in adult men. The evidence shows that the anabolic effects of T and GH are dose- and time-dependent. In studies of hormone replacement, the literature indicates that the desire to obtain a positive effect within the limited time (and budget) of a research project has often led to the use of too high and unphysiological hormone doses and consequential excess morbidity. There is clear evidence that T delivered into the systemic circulation via injections, or more recently by gels and patches, is more effective than oral preparations. Hormone replacement with T may also be safer when given systematically.

The data also show that T combined with GH is a more effective anabolic treatment regime than either alone. Of considerable interest is the fact that elite athletes abusing these hormones have come to the same conclusion and much earlier1 than we scientists. By combining GH and T, a given anabolic effect is achieved with a smaller dose of each compared with when GH and T are given alone.

---

1 Ben Johnson won a gold medal in the 100 meters in the 1988 Olympic Games in Seoul. It was soon rescinded when he tested positive for anabolic steroids. He subsequently admitted under oath that he took human GH in addition to the anabolic steroids. The first peer-reviewed papers on the effects of GH given to adults did not appear until 1989.
There may also be an extra effect that may not be achievable with either alone. This also has considerable implications in terms of avoiding side effects and achieving long-term safety. It is also not at all surprising because we know that they act on different metabolic pathways in an additive and possibly even synergistic manner.

We have also provided an explanation as to why short-term intervention studies have often failed to record significant improvement in muscle function. It takes time and use for newly formed muscle to “get up to speed,” and most intervention studies have been too short to allow this to develop. It is of great importance that future studies should be over a number of years because with these interventions we are trying to influence favorably a condition that has been developing over many years. The short-term trials (3–6 months) should be considered more as proof-of-concept studies than efficacy studies into the therapeutic effects of HRT.

The evidence reviewed indicates unequivocally that it is possible to influence at least some components of this aging process favorably by hormone replacement with GH and T. The favorable effects are seen most easily in terms of changes in body composition than in physical function. We have critically discussed the hurdles surrounding the design and methodology of trials assessing the effects of HRT in older men and presented our thoughts on this. We have focused in this review on the effects of HRT in healthy older men because recent data have clearly demonstrated that combined GH and T treatment improves exercise capacity, a prerequisite of muscle function, and thus it was reasoned that such treatment could delay or even prevent the development of frailty. Longer-term studies, possibly in conjunction with physical activity intervention, are urgently needed to demonstrate that these surrogate favorable effects translate into meaningful clinical outcomes.

Frailty is a multisystem, multifactorial condition, the pathogenesis of which is far from clear. The role of HRT in mitigating or even reversing established frailty in older men, although of huge clinical interest, is a topic that needs to be explored in further studies, which this review encourages and hopes to stimulate.

The published data also indicate that the therapeutic interventions with replacement HRT with T and GH in healthy older men is safe, at least over an interval of 3 yr (testosterone) and 6 months (GH and T), providing that moderate doses are used. Safety beyond that time scale will require longer studies than are available today. Although incomplete, the evidence available is generally positive and should encourage rather than discourage future clinical research. The goal of successful mitigation or actual prevention of frailty is so massive that it should encourage a major research priority and effort over the coming years.
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